arXiv:1006.1375v2 [math.AP] 18 Mar 2011

Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2-critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d = 2$

Benjamin Dodson

March 22, 2011

Abstract: In this paper we prove that the defocusing, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger initial value problem is globally well-posed and scattering for $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$. To do this, we will prove a frequency localized interaction Morawetz estimate similar to the estimate made in [11]. Since we are considering an L^2 - critical initial value problem we will localize to low frequencies.

1 Introduction

The cubic nonlinear Schrödinger initial value problem in two dimensions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + \Delta u &= F(u), \\ u(0,x) &= u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

$F(u) = \mu|u|^2 u$, $\mu = \pm 1$, $u(t) : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$. When $\mu = \pm 1$ (1.1) is called defocusing and when $\mu = -1$ (1.1) is focusing. It was observed in [4] that the quantities mass

$$M(u(t)) = \int |u(t,x)|^2 dx = M(u(0)), \tag{1.2}$$

and energy

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{4}\int |u(t,x)|^4 dx = E(u(0)), \tag{1.3}$$

are conserved by the solution to (1.1). When $\mu = +1$ (1.3) is positive definite. The initial value problem (1.1) also obeys a scaling symmetry. If $u(t,x)$ is a solution to (1.1) on a time interval $[0,T]$, then

$$u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x) \tag{1.4}$$

is a solution to (1.1) on $[0, \lambda^{-2}T]$ with $u(0,x) = \lambda u_0(\lambda x)$. This scaling preserves the $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ norm of u,

$$\|\lambda u_0(\lambda x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = \|u_0(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{1.5}$$

Thus (1.1) is called L^2 - critical or mass critical.

A solution to (1.1) obeys the Duhamel formula.

Definition 1.1 *$u : I \times \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{C}$, $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ is a solution to (1.1) if for any compact $J \subset I$, $u \in C_t^0 L_x^2(J \times \mathbf{R}^2) \cap L_{t,x}^4(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)$, and for all $t, t_0 \in I$,*

$$u(t,x) = e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} u_{t_0} - i \int_{t_0}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} F(u(\tau)) d\tau. \tag{1.6}$$

The space $L_{t,x}^4(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)$ arises from Strichartz estimates. This norm is invariant under the scaling (1.4).

Definition 1.2 *A solution to (1.1) defined on $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ blows up forward in time if there exists $t_0 \in I$ such that*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\sup(I)} \int |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt = \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

u blows up backward in time if there exists $t_0 \in I$ such that

$$\int_{\inf(I)}^{t_0} \int |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt = \infty. \tag{1.8}$$

Definition 1.3 *A solution $u(t,x)$ to (1.1) is said to scatter forward in time if there exists $u_+ \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|e^{it\Delta} u_+ - u(t,x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

A solution is said to scatter backward in time if there exists $u_- \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \|e^{it\Delta} u_- - u(t,x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

Theorem 1.1 *If $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}$ is sufficiently small, then (1.1) is globally well-posed and scatters to a free solution as $t \to \pm\infty$.*

Proof: See [5], [4]. $\Box$

We will recall the proof of this theorem in §2. [4], [5] also proved (1.1) is locally well - posed for $u_0 \in L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ on some interval $[0,T]$, where $T(u_0) > 0$ depends on the profile of the initial data, not just the $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}$ norm.

Theorem 1.2 *Given $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ and $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, there exists a maximal lifespan solution u to (1.1) defined on $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ with $u(t_0) = u_0$. Moreover,*

1. I is an open neighborhood of t_0.

2. If $\sup(I)$ or $\inf(I)$ is finite, then u blows up in the corresponding time direction.

3. The map that takes initial data to the corresponding solution is uniformly continuous on compact time intervals for bounded sets of initial data.

4. If $\sup(I) = \infty$ and u does not blow up forward in time, then u scatters forward to a free solution. If $\inf(I) = -\infty$ and u does not blow up backward in time, then u scatters backward to a free solution.

Proof: See [4], [5]. $\square$

There are known counterexamples to (1.1) globally well-posed and scattering in the focusing case, $\mu = -1$. There are no known counterexamples in the defocusing case. Therefore, it has been conjectured

Conjecture 1.3 *For $d \geq 1$, the defocusing, mass critical nonlinear Schrödinger initial value problem (1.1) is globally well-posed for $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and all solutions scatter to a free solution as $t \to \pm\infty$.*

This conjecture has been confirmed for $d \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.4 *When $d \geq 3$, (1.1) is globally well-posed and scattering for $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Proof: See [22] for a proof in the radial case, [16] for the non-radial case.

Theorem 1.5 *When $d = 2$, (1.1) is globally well-posed and scattering for $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ radial.*

Proof: See [21].

In this paper we remove the radial condition for the case when $d = 2$ and prove

Theorem 1.6 *(1.1) is globally well-posed and scattering for $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\mu = +1$.*

Remark: [21] and [22] also proved global well-posedness and scattering for the focusing, mass-critical initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + \Delta u &= -|u|^{4/d} u, \\ u(0,x) &= u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

with radial data and mass less than the mass of the ground state when $d \geq 2$. Many of the theorems used in this paper are true for both the focusing and the defocusing problem. So whenever possible we will prove theorems for $\mu = \pm 1$.

Outline of the Proof. We use the concentration compactness method, which is a modification of the induction on energy method. The induction on energy method was introduced in [3] to prove global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy-critical initial value problem in $\mathbf{R}^3$ for radial data. [3] proved that it sufficed to treat solutions to the energy critical problem that were localized in both space and frequency.

This induction on energy method lead to the development of the concentration compactness method. This method uses a concentration compactness technique to isolate a minimal mass/energy blowup solution. [21], [22], and [16] used concentration compactness to prove theorems 1.5 and 1.4 in the radial case. (1.1) is globally well-posed for small $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}$, so if (1.1), $\mu = +1$ is not globally well-posed for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then there must be a minimum $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} = m_0$ where global well-posedness fails. [32] showed that for conjecture 1.3 to fail, there must exist a minimal mass blowup solution with a number of additional properties.

Theorem 1.7 *Suppose conjecture 1.3 fails. Then there exists a maximal lifespan solution* $u : I \times \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{C}$ *with* $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = m_0$*, u blows up forward and backward in time, and u is almost periodic modulo the group* $G = (0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$ *which consists of scaling symmetries, translation symmetries, and Galilean symmetries. That is, for any* $t \in I$,

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{N(t)} e^{ix \cdot \xi(t)} Q_t(\frac{x - x(t)}{N(t)}), \tag{1.12}$$

where $Q_t(x) \in K \subset L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$*, K is a precompact subset of* $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Additionally, $[0, \infty) \subset I$*,* $N(t) \leq 1$ *on* $[0, \infty)$*,* $N(0) = 1$*, and*

$$\int_0^\infty \int |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt = \infty. \tag{1.13}$$

Proof: See [32] and section four of [30]. □

Remark: This is also true of a minimal mass blowup solution to the focusing problem (1.11).

To verify conjecture 1.3 for $d = 2$ it suffices to consider two cases separately,

$$\int_0^\infty N(t)^3 dt = \infty, \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty N(t)^3 dt < \infty. \tag{1.15}$$

As in [16] we preclude the scenario $\int_0^\infty N(t)^3 dt = \infty$ with a frequency localized interaction Morawetz estimate. (See [11] for such an estimate in the energy - critical case. [11] dealt with the energy - critical equation and thus truncated to high frequencies). In this paper we use the two dimensional interaction Morawetz estimate proved independently in [7] and [25],

$$\| |\nabla|^{1/2} |u(t,x)|^2 \|_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|u(t,x)\|^3_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \|u(t,x)\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{1.16}$$

This estimate scales like $\int_0^T N(t)^3 dt$, and in fact is bounded below by a constant times this quantity. Therefore, to exclude (1.14) we prove

Theorem 1.8 *If $\int_J N(t)^3 dt = K$, and C is a large constant, independent of K, then*

$$\int_J \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} | |\nabla|^{1/2} |P_{\leq CK} u(t,x)|^2 |^2 dx dt \lesssim_{m_0,d} o(K). \tag{1.17}$$

This leads to a contradiction when (1.14) holds. As in the case when $d \geq 3$, truncating in frequency necessarily introduces error in the interaction Morawetz estimates. To do this when $d \geq 3$ it sufficed to prove

Theorem 1.9 *Suppose $J \subset [0,\infty)$ is compact, u is a minimal mass blowup solution to (1.1) for $\mu = \pm 1$, $d \geq 3$, and $\int_J N(t)^3 dt = K$. Then there exists a function $\rho(N)$, $\rho(N) \leq 1$, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \rho(N) = 0$, such that for $N \leq K$,*

$$\|P_{|\xi - \xi(t)| > N} u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(J\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim_{m_0,d} \rho(N) (\frac{K}{N})^{1/2}. \tag{1.18}$$

Proof: See [16]. $\Box$

However when $d = 2$ the endpoint Strichartz estimate for the admissible pair $(2,\infty)$ is no longer available. Therefore, in §§4 − 5 we will have to work substantially harder to build a version of (1.18) adapted to dimensions $d = 1, 2$. Since we are truncating to low frequencies, our method is very similar to the almost Morawetz estimates that are often used in conjunction with the I-method. (See [1], [8], [9], [10], [12], [6], [17], [15], [13], and [14] for more information on the I-method.)

We will also use the estimates in §§4 − 5 in order to preculde the case (1.15) by proving additional regularity. This method is similar to the method used in [21], [31], and [22]. In particular $u(t) \in$

$L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^2([0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^d)$. Since $\int N(t)^3 dt < \infty$, $N(t) \searrow 0$ as $t \to \infty$, this contradicts conservation of energy.

2 Function Spaces and linear estimates

Linear Strichartz Estimates:

Definition 2.1 *A pair (p,q) will be called an admissible pair for $d = 2$ if $\frac{2}{p} = 2(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$, and $p > 2$.*

Theorem 2.1 *If $u(t,x)$ solves the initial value problem*

$$\begin{gathered} iu_t + \Delta u = F(t), \\ u(0,x) = u_0, \end{gathered} \tag{2.1}$$

on an interval I, then

$$\|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim_{p,q,\tilde{p},\tilde{q}} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}(I \times \mathbf{R}^d)}, \tag{2.2}$$

for all admissible pairs (p,q), $(\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$. $\tilde{p}'$ denotes the Lebesgue dual of $\tilde{p}$.

Proof: See [29].

(2.2) motivates the definition of the Strichartz space.

Definition 2.2 *Define the norm*

$$\|u\|_{S^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \equiv \sup_{(p,q) \text{ admissible}} \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.3}$$

$$S^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^2) = \{u : \|u\|_{S^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} < \infty\}. \tag{2.4}$$

We also define the space $N^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)$ to be the space dual to $S^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)$ with appropriate norm. By theorem 2.1,

$$\|u\|_{S^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|F\|_{N^0(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.2 *(1.1) is globally well-posed when $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}$ is small.*

Proof: By (2.2) and (2.5),

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4((-\infty,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4((-\infty,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^3. \tag{2.6}$$

By the continuity method, if $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}$ is sufficiently small, then we have global well-posedness. Moreover, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $T(\epsilon)$ such that $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}([T,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^2)} < \epsilon$. Then

$$u(t) = e^{i(t-T)\Delta}u(T) - i\int_T^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}|u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau)d\tau, \tag{2.7}$$

so by (2.5), when $t \geq T$,

$$\|u(t) - e^{i(t-T)\Delta}u(T)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon^3. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, the limit

$$u_+ = \lim_{k\to\infty} e^{-iT(2^{-k})\Delta}u(T(2^{-k})) \tag{2.9}$$

exists, and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_+\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

An identical argument can be made for $t \to -\infty$. In fact, if $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}((-\infty,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C$, then $(-\infty, \infty)$ can be partitioned into $\sim C^4$ subintervals I with $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(I\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0$ on each subinterval. Using the Duhamel formula on each interval separately, we obtain global well-posedness and scattering. $\Box$

So to prove (1.1) is globally well-posed and scattering it suffices to prove that if u is a solution to (1.1),

$$\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} < \infty \tag{2.11}$$

for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$. Define the function

$$A(m) = \sup\{\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}((-\infty,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^2)} : \text{ u solves (1.1)}, \|u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = m\}. \tag{2.12}$$

If we can prove $A(m) < \infty$ for any m, then we have proved global well-posedness and scattering.

Using the stability lemma from [32], $A(m)$ is a continuous function of m, which implies that $\{m : A(m) = \infty\}$ is a closed set. This implies that if global well-posedness and scattering does not hold in the defocusing case for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then there must be a minimum m_0 with $A(m_0) = \infty$. We will discuss the properties of a minimal mass blowup solution more in the next section.

Littlewood-Paley decomposition: We will also need the Littlewood-Paley decomposition at various points throughout the paper. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$, radial, $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1; \\ 0, & |x| > 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Then define the frequency truncation

$$\mathcal{F}(P_{\leq N} u) = \phi(\frac{\xi}{N})\hat{u}(\xi). \tag{2.14}$$

Let $P_{>N} u = u - P_{\leq N} u$ and $P_N u = P_{\leq 2N} u - P_{\leq N} u$. If $\chi(x) = \phi(\frac{x}{2}) - \phi(x)$, P_N is the Fourier multiplier

$$\mathcal{F}(P_N u) = \chi(\frac{\xi}{N})\hat{u}(\xi). \tag{2.15}$$

Since we are dealing with the L^2 critical initial value problem, we know that the L^2 norm of u is bounded, so for any $s > 0$,

$$\|P_{\leq 1} u\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Because of this, it is not very important to distinguish between different dyadic frequencies 2^k, when $k \leq 0$. Therefore, we depart from the customary notation and say

$$P_{1/2} u = P_{\leq 1} u. \tag{2.16}$$

This will simplify some notation later.

We will also want to make a Littlewood-Paley decomposition with $\xi_0 \neq 0$ at the origin. Let

$$P_{N,\xi_0} u = e^{ix\cdot\xi_0} P_N(e^{-ix\cdot\xi_0} u). \tag{2.17}$$

We can similarly define $P_{\xi_0,N}$, $P_{\xi_0,\geq N}$, etc. We will let

$$P_{N,\xi_0} \bar{u} = e^{-ix\cdot\xi_0} P_N(e^{ix\cdot\xi_0} \bar{u}) = e^{-ix\cdot\xi_0} P_N(\overline{e^{-ix\cdot\xi_0} u}). \tag{2.18}$$

Function Spaces: We utilize the function spaces used in [24].

Definition 2.3 *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then U_Δ^p is an atomic space, where atoms are piecewise solutions to the linear equation.*

$$u_\lambda = \sum_k 1_{[t_k, t_{k+1})} e^{it\Delta} u_k, \quad \sum_k \|u_k\|_{L^2}^p = 1. \tag{2.19}$$

Then for any $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|u\|_{U_\Delta^p} = \inf\{\sum_\lambda |c_\lambda| : u = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda u_\lambda, u_\lambda \text{ are } U_\Delta^p \text{ atoms}\}. \tag{2.20}$$

For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, $U_{\Delta}^{p} \subset L^{\infty} L^{2}$. Additionally, U_{Δ}^{p} functions are continuous except at countably many points and right continuous everywhere.

Definition 2.4 *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then V_{Δ}^{p} is the space of right continuous functions $u \in L^{\infty}(L^{2})$ such that*

$$\|v\|_{V_{\Delta}^{p}}^{p} = \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(L^{2})}^{p} + \sup_{\{t_k\} \nearrow} \sum_{k} \|e^{-it_k \Delta} v(t_k) - e^{-it_{k+1}\Delta} v(t_{k+1})\|_{L^2}^{p}. \tag{2.21}$$

The supremum is taken over increasing sequences t_k.

Theorem 2.3 *The function spaces U_{Δ}^{p}, V_{Δ}^{q} obey the embeddings*

$$U_{\Delta}^{p} \subset V_{\Delta}^{p} \subset U_{\Delta}^{q} \subset L^{\infty}(L^{2}), \qquad p < q. \tag{2.22}$$

Let DU_{Δ}^{p} be the space of functions

$$DU_{\Delta}^{p} = \{(i\partial_t + \Delta)u; u \in U_{\Delta}^{p}\}. \tag{2.23}$$

There is the easy estimate

$$\|u\|_{U_{\Delta}^{p}} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{L^2} + \|(i\partial_t + \partial_x^2)u\|_{DU_{\Delta}^{p}}. \tag{2.24}$$

Finally, there is the duality relation

$$(DU_{\Delta}^{p})^{*} = V_{\Delta}^{p'}. \tag{2.25}$$

These spaces are also closed under truncation in time.

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_I &: U_{\Delta}^{p} \to U_{\Delta}^{p}, \\ \chi_I &: V_{\Delta}^{p} \to V_{\Delta}^{p}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Proof: See [19]. □

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose $J = I_1 \cup I_2$, $I_1 = [a,b]$, $I_2 = [b,c]$, $a \leq b \leq c$.*

$$\|u\|_{U_{\Delta}^{p}(J \times \mathbf{R})}^{p} \leq \|u\|_{U_{\Delta}^{p}(I_1 \times \mathbf{R})}^{p} + \|u\|_{U_{\Delta}^{p}(I_2 \times \mathbf{R})}^{p}, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\|u\|_{U_{\Delta}^{p}(I_1 \times \mathbf{R})} \leq \|u\|_{U_{\Delta}^{p}(J \times \mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{2.28}$$

Proof: Let u be a U^p_Δ atom supported on I_1 and v be a U^p_Δ atom supported on I_2. Suppose

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{K} 1_{[t_k,t_{k+1})} e^{it\Delta} u_k,$$

and

$$v = \sum_{l=1}^{L} 1_{[t_l,t_{l+1})} e^{it\Delta} v_l.$$

Then

$$w = \frac{c_1}{(|c_1|^p + |c_2|^p)^{1/p}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} 1_{[t_k,t_{k+1})} e^{it\Delta} u_k + \frac{c_2}{(|c_1|^p + |c_2|^p)^{1/p}} \sum_{l=1}^{L} 1_{[t_l,t_{l+1})} e^{it\Delta} v_l$$

is also a U^p_Δ atom. Moreover,

$$c_1 u + c_2 v = (|c_1|^p + |c_2|^p)^{1/p} w.$$

Now take

$$u = \sum c_{\lambda_1} u_{\lambda_1}, v = \sum c_{\lambda_2} v_{\lambda_2}.$$

Suppose $\sum |c_{\lambda_1}| = C_1$ and $\sum |c_{\lambda_2}| = C_2$.

$$\sum c_{\lambda_1} u_{\lambda_1} + \sum c_{\lambda_2} v_{\lambda_2} = \sum \frac{c_{\lambda_1} |c_{\lambda_2}|}{C_2} u_{\lambda_1} + \sum \frac{|c_{\lambda_1}| c_{\lambda_2}}{C_1} u_{\lambda_2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|u + v\|_{U^p_\Delta} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} |c_{\lambda_1}||c_{\lambda_2}| \| \frac{u_{\lambda_1}}{C_2} + \frac{v_{\lambda_2}}{C_1} \|_{U^p_\Delta} \lesssim C_1 C_2 (\frac{1}{C_2^p} + \frac{1}{C_1^p})^{1/p} = (C_1^p + C_2^p)^{1/p}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark: An atom that is the sum of an infinite number of $e^{it\Delta} u_k$ can be approximated by a finite sum.

Linear and Bilinear Estimates in two dimensions: Combining theorem 2.1 with 2.3,

Proposition 2.5 *Let p, q be indices satisfying*

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1, \quad 2 < p \leq \infty. \tag{2.29}$$

Then

$$\|v\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|v\|_{U_\Delta^p(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.30}$$

Proof: This follows by checking individual atoms. See [24] for more information. □

Proposition 2.6 *If $\hat{u}_0$ is supported on $|\xi| \sim N$, $\hat{v}_0$ is supported on $|\xi| \sim M$, $M << N$,*

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} u_0)(e^{it\Delta} v_0)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{M}{N})^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} u_0)(e^{-it\Delta} v_0)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{M}{N})^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.32}$$

Proof: See [1]. □

Corollary 2.7 *Interpolating the previous two propositions*

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} u_0)(e^{\pm it\Delta} v_0)\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{M}{N})^{1/p} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{2.33}$$

for $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. Also,

$$\|uv\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{M}{N})^{1/p} \|u\|_{U_\Delta^p(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|v\|_{U_\Delta^p(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{2.34}$$

$$\|u\bar{v}\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{M}{N})^{1/p} \|u\|_{U_\Delta^p(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|v\|_{U_\Delta^p(I \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.35}$$

We will also need a subcritical bilinear estimate.

Proposition 2.8 *For $q > \frac{5}{3}$, if the Fourier supports of $\hat{u}_0$ and $\hat{v}_0$ are separated by distance $\geq cN$, and $\hat{u}_0(\xi)$ and $\hat{v}_0(\xi)$ are supported in $|\xi| \leq N$, then*

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} u_0)(e^{it\Delta} v_0)\|_{L_{t,x}^q(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C(c) N^{2-4/q} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.36}$$

Proof: See [28]. □

Corollary 2.9 *Suppose $N_2 << N$. If $\hat{u}_0(\xi)$ and $\hat{v}_0(\xi)$ are supported on $|\xi| \sim N$, then*

$$\|P_{N_2}((e^{it\Delta} u_0)(e^{it\Delta} v_0))\|_{L_{t,x}^q(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim N^{2-4/q} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.37}$$

Proof: Take a smooth partition of unity such that

$$\sum \chi_{n,m}(x) = 1$$

on $|\xi| \lesssim N$, each $\chi_{n,m}$ is supported on the $2N_2 \times 2N_2$ square $[nN_2, (n+2)N_2] \times [mN_2, (m+2)N_2]$. Let

$$\widehat{P_{n,m} f}(\xi) = \chi_{n,m}(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi). \tag{2.38}$$

$$\|P_{N_2}((e^{it\Delta}u_0)(e^{it\Delta}v_0))\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{|n_1|+|m_1|\sim\frac{N}{N_2}, |n_2|+|m_2|\sim\frac{N}{N_2}} \sum_{|n_1+n_2|\leq 10, |n_2+m_2|\leq 10} \|(e^{it\Delta}P_{n_1,m_1}u_0)(e^{it\Delta}P_{n_2,m_2}v_0)\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim N^{2-4/q} \sum_{|n_1+n_2|\leq 10, |m_1+m_2|\leq 10} \|P_{n_1,m_1}u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{n_2,m_2}v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim N^{2-4/q}\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

The last inequality follows by Cauchy-Schwartz since for each (n_1, m_1) there are only a finite number of pairs (n_2, m_2) such that $|n_1+n_2| \leq 10$, $|m_1+m_2| \leq 10$. The second to last inequality follows from the fact that $(n_1-n_2)+(n_1+n_2) = 2n_1$, $(m_1-m_2)+(m_1+m_2) = 2m_1$ and $|m_1|+|n_1| \sim \frac{N}{N_2} >> 10$. □

Corollary 2.10 *When* $\frac{N}{32} \leq N_1$, $N_2 \leq 2^{-15}N$, *and* J *is an interval,*

$$\|P_{N_2}((v)(P_{N_1}u))\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{3/2}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N_1^{1/3-}}\|v\|_{U_\Delta^{2+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^{2+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{2.39}$$

$$\|(P_{N_1}u)(P_{N_2}u)\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{3/2}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N_1^{1/3-}}\|P_{N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^{2+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^{2+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{2.40}$$

and

$$\|(P_{N_1}u)(P_{N_2}u)\|_{L_t^2L_x^{3/2+}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N_1^{1/3-}}\|P_{N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.41}$$

By the Arzela - Ascoli theorem and theorem 1.7,

$$\int_{|x-x(t)|\geq\frac{C(\eta)}{N(t)}} |u(t,x)|^2 dx < \eta, \tag{2.42}$$

$$\int_{|\xi - \xi(t)| \geq C(\eta) N(t)} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi < \eta. \tag{2.43}$$

One difficulty in going from radial solutions to nonradial solutions is that in the radial case $x(t) \equiv \xi(t) \equiv 0$, while in the nonradial case $\xi(t)$ and $x(t)$ are free to move around in $\mathbf{R}^2$. A weapon that partially counters the movement of $\xi(t)$ is the Galilean transformation.

Remark: We will not be particularly concerned with the movement of $x(t)$, since we are using the interaction Morawetz estimates.

Theorem 2.11 *Suppose $u(t, x)$ solves*

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + \Delta u &= F(u), \\ u(0, x) &= u_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Then $v(t, x) = e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} u(t, x - 2\xi_0 t)$ solves the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} iv_t + \Delta v &= F(v), \\ v(0, x) &= e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} u(0, x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

Proof: This follows by direct calculation. $\Box$

If $u(t, x)$ obeys (2.42) and (2.43) and $v(t, x) = e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} u(t, x - 2\xi_0 t)$, then

$$\int_{|\xi - \xi_0 - \xi(t)| \geq C(\eta) N(t)} |\hat{v}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi < \eta, \tag{2.46}$$

and

$$\int_{|x - 2\xi_0 t - x(t)| \geq \frac{C(\eta)}{N(t)}} |v(t, x)|^2 dx < \eta. \tag{2.47}$$

Remark: This will be useful later because it shifts $\xi(t)$ by a fixed amount $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^2$. For example, we can set $\xi(0) = 0$.

Lemma 2.12 *If J is an interval with*

$$\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C, \tag{2.48}$$

then for $t_1, t_2 \in J$,

$$N(t_1) \sim_{C, m_0} N(t_2). \tag{2.49}$$

Proof: Without loss of generality suppose $J = [0, T]$, $N(0) = 1$ and $\xi(0) = 0$. By time reversal symmetry, it suffices to show

$$N(t) \lesssim 1$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Let $\eta = \frac{m_0^2}{1000}$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ very small. Suppose $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0$. By the Duhamel formula,

$$u(t, x) = e^{it\Delta} u(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} F(u(\tau)) d\tau. \tag{2.50}$$

Since $N(0) = 1$ and $\xi(0) = 0$, (2.43) implies

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})} |\hat{u}(0, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{m_0^2}{1000}, \tag{2.51}$$

which in turn implies

$$\int_{|x - x(t)| \leq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000}) \frac{1}{N(t)}} |e^{it\Delta} P_{|\xi| > C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})} u(0)|^2 dx \leq \frac{m_0^2}{1000}. \tag{2.52}$$

By Strichartz estimates,

$$\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} |u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau) d\tau \|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq C\epsilon_0^3. \tag{2.53}$$

Fix $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small so that $C\epsilon_0^3 < \frac{m_0}{1000}$. By Holder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\int_{|x - x(t)| \leq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000}) \frac{1}{N(t)}} |e^{it\Delta} P_{|\xi| \leq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})} u(0)|^2 dx \leq \frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})^2 m_0^2}{N(t)^2}. \tag{2.54}$$

By (2.42) and the conservation of mass,

$$\frac{99 m_0^2}{100} \leq \int_{|x - x(t)| \leq C(\frac{m_0^2}{100}) \frac{1}{N(t)}} |u(t, x)|^2 dx. \tag{2.55}$$

Combining (2.52), (2.53), and (2.54),

$$\frac{99 m_0^2}{100} \leq \int_{|x - x(t)| \leq C(\frac{m_0^2}{100}) \frac{1}{N(t)}} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{m_0^2}{100} + \frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})^2 m_0^2}{N(t)^2}.$$

Therefore $N(t)$ must be bounded on $[0, T]$.

Now if $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C$, partition $[0,T]$ into $\frac{C^4}{\epsilon_0^4}$ subintervals and iterate. □

We can control the movement of $\xi(t)$ with a similar argument.

Lemma 2.13 *Partition $J = [0, T_0]$ into subintervals $J = \cup J_k$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J_k\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0. \tag{2.56}$$

Let $N(J_k) = \sup_{t\in J_k} N(t)$. Then

$$|\xi(0) - \xi(T_0)| \lesssim \sum_k N(J_k), \tag{2.57}$$

which is the sum over the intervals J_k.

Proof: Again take $\eta = \frac{m_0^2}{1000}$. Let $t_1, t_2 \in J_k$. By Strichartz estimates,

$$\| \int_{t_1}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} |u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau) d\tau \|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq \frac{m_0}{1000}. \tag{2.58}$$

By (2.42) and (2.43)

$$\int_{|\xi-\xi(t_1)|\geq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})N(t_1)} |\hat{u}(t_1,\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{m_0^2}{1000}, \tag{2.59}$$

and

$$\int_{|\xi-\xi(t_2)|\geq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})N(t_2)} |\hat{u}(t_2,\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{m_0^2}{1000}. \tag{2.60}$$

By Duhamel's formula, conservation of mass, (2.58), (2.59), and (2.60), the balls $|\xi - \xi(t)| \leq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})N(t_1)$ and $|\xi - \xi(t)| \leq C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})N(t_2)$ must intersect, so $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \leq 3C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})(N(t_1) + N(t_2))$. By the triangle inequality and lemma 2.12,

$$|\xi(T_0) - \xi(0)| \leq \sum_k |\xi(t_k) - \xi(t_{k+1})| \lesssim \sum_k N(J_k). \tag{2.61}$$

□

Next, we quote a result,

Lemma 2.14 *If $u(t,x)$ is a minimal mass blowup solution on an interval J, $d \geq 1$,*

$$\int_J N(t)^2 dt \lesssim \|u\|^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d}}_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d}}(J\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim 1 + \int_J N(t)^2 dt. \tag{2.62}$$

Proof: See [22]. $\Box$

In fact, more is true.

Lemma 2.15 *Suppose u is a minimal mass blowup solution with $N(t) \leq 1$. Suppose also that J is some interval partitioned into subintervals J_k with $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^2)} = \epsilon_0$ on each J_k. Again let*

$$N(J_k) = \sup_{t \in J_k} N(t). \tag{2.63}$$

Then,

$$\sum_{J_k} N(J_k) \sim \int_J N(t)^3 dt. \tag{2.64}$$

Proof: By lemma 2.14,

$$\int_J N(t)^2 \lesssim \|u\|^4_{L^4_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{2.65}$$

Since $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^2)} = \epsilon_0$, by (2.62),

$$\int_{J_k} N(t)^3 dt \lesssim N(J_k) \int_{J_k} N(t)^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^4 N(t_k),$$

so

$$\int_J N(t)^3 dt \lesssim \sum_{J_k} N(J_k).$$

On the other hand, by the Duhamel formula,

$$\|u\|_{L^3_t L^6_x(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|u\|^3_{L^4_{t,x}(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 1. \tag{2.66}$$

Interpolating this with

$$\|u_{|\xi - \xi(t)| \geq C(\eta) N(t)}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \eta^{1/2}, \tag{2.67}$$

we have

$$\|u_{|\xi - \xi(t)| \geq C(\eta(\epsilon_0)) N(t)}\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J_k \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{1000}, \tag{2.68}$$

for a small, fixed $\eta(\epsilon_0) > 0$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\|u_{|\xi - \xi(t)| \leq C(\eta(\epsilon_0)) N(t)}(t)\|_{L^4_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim [C(\eta(\epsilon_0)) N(t)]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.69}$$

Therefore,

$$\epsilon_0^4 \lesssim \int_{J_k} C(\eta(\epsilon_0))^2 N(t)^2 dt.$$

Since $N(t_1) \sim N(t_2)$ for $t_1, t_2 \in J_k$, this implies

$$N(J_k) \lesssim \int_{J_k} N(t)^3 dt. \tag{2.70}$$

Summing up over subintervals proves the lemma. □

Remark: By lemma 2.13, $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t)| \lesssim N(J)$ for $t_1, t \in J$, $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0$. Combining this with lemma 2.15, possibly after modifying $C(\eta)$ by a constant, we can define $\xi(t) : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}^2$, $C(\eta)$ that satisfy (2.42) and (2.43), and

$$|\xi'(t)| \lesssim N(t)^3. \tag{2.71}$$

$$\int_J |\xi'(t)| dt \lesssim \int N(t)^3 dt. \tag{2.72}$$

$C(\eta)$ will not be modified from this point onward in the paper.

3 A norm adapted to $N(t)$ constant

As a warm-up, we will treat the minimal mass blowup scenario $N(t) \equiv 1$, $\xi(t) \equiv 0$, $\mu = \pm 1$. Rescaling,

$$u_\lambda(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} u(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}, \frac{x}{\lambda}), \tag{3.1}$$

$N(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ small. We will treat the case $N(t) = \delta(\epsilon)$, $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ sufficiently small so that $\delta < \epsilon^{10}$, and dropping the λ from $u_\lambda(t)$,

$$\|P_{> \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{32}} u(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2((-\infty,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)} < \epsilon, \tag{3.2}$$

and for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}([a,a+1] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0. \tag{3.3}$$

The semi-norm we are about to define is adapted to the case $N(t) \equiv \delta$. This adaptation allows us to bound this semi-norm when $u(t)$ is a minimal mass blowup solution by making small data-like arguments. This semi-norm will be generalized in the next section to treat the case when $N(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ are free to move around.

Definition 3.1 *Let N_j be a dyadic integer.*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|^2_{X^k_{N_j}} \equiv \sum_{1\le N_i\le N_j} \frac{N_i}{N_j} \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{N_j}{N_i}-1} \|P_{N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta([kN_j+lN_i,kN_j+(l+1)N_i]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ + \sum_{N_j<N_i} \|P_{N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta([kN_j,(k+1)N_j]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Now, when M is some dyadic integer, define

$$\|u\|^2_{X_M([0,M]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \equiv \sup_{1\le N_j\le M} \sup_{0\le k\le \frac{M}{N_j}} \|u\|^2_{X^k_{N_j}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, it is possible to define

$$\|u\|^2_{X_M([a,a+M]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{3.6}$$

for any $a\in\mathbf{R}$.

Remark: $\|u\|_{X_M([0,M]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$ is a semi-norm since for any $f(t)$ supported on $|\xi|<1$, $\|f(t)\|_{X_M([0,M]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\equiv 0$. Therefore, we need to say something about the behavior of a minimal mass blowup solution at low frequencies.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose $u(t)$ is a minimal mass blowup solution to (1.1), and J is an interval with*

$$\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\le\epsilon_0, \tag{3.7}$$

and $N(t)=\delta$ on J. Then

$$\|P_{>\delta^{1/2}}u(t)\|_{U^2_\Delta(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\lesssim\epsilon. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof: Let $J=[a,b]$. By Duhamel's formula, for $t\in J$,

$$u(t)=e^{i(t-a)\Delta}u(a)-i\int_a^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}F(u(\tau))d\tau. \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u\|_{L^3_tL^6_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\lesssim\|u(a)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}+\|u\|^3_{L^4_{t,x}(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\lesssim 1.$$

Since

$$\|P_{>\frac{\delta^{1/2}}{32}}u(t)\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x(J\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\le\epsilon,$$

$$\| P_{> \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{32}} e^{i(t-a)\Delta} u(a) \|_{U_{\Delta}^{2}(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon.$$

Also,

$$\| \int_a^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} P_{> \delta^{1/2}} (|u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau)) d\tau \|_{U_{\Delta}^{2}(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \| P_{> \delta^{1/2}} (|u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau)) \|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5}(J \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \| P_{> \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{32}} u \|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2 (J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \| u \|_{L_t^3 L_x^6 (J \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \epsilon. \Box$$

Remark: Using the exact same arguments, if J is an interval with

$$\| u \|_{L_{t,x}^4 (J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_0, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\| P_{> \frac{N(J)}{\delta^{1/2}}} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (J \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon. \tag{3.11}$$

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose $u(t)$ is a minimal mass blowup solution to*

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + \Delta u &= F(u), \\ u(0,x) &= u_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

There exists a fixed constant C such that for $\epsilon, \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ sufficiently small, $N(t) \equiv \delta$,

$$\| u \|_{X_M([0,M] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C \epsilon \tag{3.13}$$

for all dyadic M, $1 \leq M < \infty$. C does not depend on ϵ.

Sketch of Proof: Theorem 3.2 is proved by induction. By lemma 3.1,

$$\| P_1 u(t) \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 ([a,a+1] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C \epsilon, \tag{3.14}$$

so

$$\| u \|_{X_1([a,a+1] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C \epsilon.$$

Suppose that for any dyadic integer M, $1 \leq M < \infty$,

$$\| u \|_{X_M([a,a+M] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \frac{C}{2} \epsilon + \frac{C}{2} (\epsilon^2 + \| u \|_{X_M([a,a+M] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2), \tag{3.15}$$

C is independent of $\epsilon > 0$. Then we are able to prove theorem 3.2 by induction. Suppose that for $M \leq N$,

$$\| u(t) \|_{X_M([a,a+M] \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \leq C \epsilon, \tag{3.16}$$

for a fixed constant C, $\epsilon > 0$, and for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Then making a crude estimate,

$$\|u(t)\|_{X_{2N}([a,a+2N] \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \leq 2C\epsilon. \tag{3.17}$$

By (3.15), (3.17),

$$\|u(t)\|_{X_{2N}([a,a+2N] \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\epsilon + \frac{C}{2}(\epsilon^2 + (2C\epsilon)^2). \tag{3.18}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, this implies that for $a \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\|u(t)\|_{X_{2N}([a,a+2N] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C\epsilon, \tag{3.19}$$

closing the induction. □

The proof of an estimate of the form (3.15) will occupy the bulk of the paper. In fact, we will prove an estimate of the form (3.15) for a generalization of $\|u\|_{X_M([a,a+M] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$ used to treat the case when $N(t)$ need not be constant. (3.15) is a special case of the more general result.

The purpose of this section is to discuss the simpler case in the hopes that the main idea is more evident, since it is not obscured by the technical details that arise when $N(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ are free to move around.

4 Estimates when $N(t)$, $\xi(t)$ are free to vary

In this section we will define the seminorm $\tilde{X}_M([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)$, which is a generalization of $X_M([0,M] \times \mathbf{R}^2)$ adapted to the case when $N(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ are free to vary. Instead of rescaling to set $N(t) \equiv \delta$, we will rescale so that the mean of $N(t)$ is equal to δ.

Suppose M is a dyadic integer, $[0,T] = \cup_{l=1}^{M} J_l$, with $\|u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} = \epsilon_0$, and $\sum N(J_l) = \delta M$. We will call the individual J_l subintervals the small intervals. We want to partition $[0,T]$ at level N_i for $1 \leq N_i \leq M$. If $N(J_l) > \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$ then we will call J_l a red interval at level N_i.

A union $G = \cup J_l$ of N_i consecutive small intervals with

$$\sum_{J_l \subset G} N(J_l) \leq \delta N_i$$

and $N(J_l) \leq \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$ for each $J_l \subset G$ will be called a length green interval at level N_i. A union G of $\leq N_i$ consecutive small intervals J_l with

$$\frac{\delta N_i}{2} < \sum_{J_l \subset G} N(J_l) \leq \delta N_i$$

will be called a weight green interval at level N_i.

A union Y of $< N_i$ consecutive small intervals with

$$\sum_{J_l \subset Y} N(J_l) \leq \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$$

will be called a yellow interval at level N_i.

$[0, T]$ can be partitioned so that every yellow interval Y lies immediately to the left of a red interval, or that $T \in Y$. We can apply a simple algorithm to accomplish this. If there is a yellow interval Y, and the small interval J_l to the right of Y satisfies $N(J_l) \leq \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$ then we take $Y \cup J_l = Y^*$. Y^* is the union of $\leq N_i$ small intervals with

$$\sum_{J_l \subset Y^*} N(J_l) \leq \delta N_i.$$

If Y^* is the union of N_i small intervals then Y^* is a length green interval. If

$$\frac{\delta N_i}{2} < \sum_{J_l \subset Y^*} N(J_l) \leq \delta N_i,$$

then Y^* is a weight green interval. If

$$\sum_{J_l \subset Y^*} N(J_l) \leq \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$$

and Y^* is the union of $< N_i$ small intervals, then Y^* remains a yellow interval. If $T \notin Y^*$ and the small interval to the right of Y^* is not red, then repeat the above procedure until $[0, T]$ is partitioned appropriately.

Remark: $[0, T]$ is a green interval at level M.

Remark: The reader should think of the yellow intervals at level N_i as the scraps left over after carving out the red and green intervals at level N_i.

Because $\xi(t)$ can travel around in $\mathbf{R}^2$ we place some additional restrictions on $\delta(\epsilon)$. $\delta(\epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{10}$,

$$\|P_{\xi(t), > \frac{N(t)}{\delta^{1/2} 32}} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2((-\infty, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon, \tag{4.1}$$

and for $t_1, t_2 \in J_l$, J_l is a small interval,

$$|\xi(t_1)-\xi(t_2)| \leq 2^{-20}\frac{N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}}. \tag{4.2}$$

This is possible by (2.43), lemma 2.12, and lemma 2.13.

Definition 4.1 *For a green interval* $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}=[a,b]$, *let* $\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i})=\xi(a)$. $\xi(Y_{\alpha}^{N_i})$ *and* $\xi(R_{\alpha}^{N_i})$ *are defined in a similar manner.*

If $G_k^{N_j}$ *is a green interval at level* N_j,

$$\|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})} \equiv \sum_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})\sum_{G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}$$

$$+\sum_{N_j<N_i}\|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}+\sup_{1\leq N_i<N_j}\sup_{Y_{\alpha}^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(Y_{\alpha}^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_{\Delta}(Y_{\alpha}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{4.3}$$

For a yellow interval at level N_j,

$$\|u\|^2_{X(Y_k^{N_j})} \equiv \sum_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})\sum_{G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}$$

$$+\sum_{N_j\leq N_i}\|P_{\xi(Y_k^{N_j}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_{\Delta}(Y_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}+\sup_{1\leq N_i<N_j}\sup_{Y_{\alpha}^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(Y_{\alpha}^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_{\Delta}(Y_{\alpha}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{4.4}$$

$$\|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_M([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \equiv \sup_{1\leq N_j\leq M}\sup_{G_k^{N_j}\subset[0,T]}\|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})}+\sup_{1\leq N_j\leq M}\sup_{Y_k^{N_j}\subset[0,T]}\|u\|^2_{X(Y_k^{N_j})}. \tag{4.5}$$

Also for a dyadic integer N, $1\leq N\leq M$,

$$\|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_N([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \equiv \sup_{1\leq N_j\leq N}\sup_{G_k^{N_j}\subset[0,T]}\|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})}+\sup_{1\leq N_j\leq N}\sup_{Y_k^{N_j}\subset[0,T]}\|u\|^2_{X(Y_k^{N_j})}. \tag{4.6}$$

We first prove than an estimate on $\|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}$ gives control over $\|P_{N_i}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}$ for a dyadic frequency N_i, as well as an estimate of $\|P_{N_i}u\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}$ when (p,q) is a d-admissible pair.

Lemma 4.1 *For a dyadic frequency* $1\leq N_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})[\sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}+\sum_{Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\\&+\sum_{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}\subset G_k^{N_j}}\|P_{\xi(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}]+\sum_{N_j<N_i}\|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\lesssim\|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})}+\epsilon^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})[\sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}+\sum_{Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\\&+\sum_{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}\subset Y_k^{N_j}}\|P_{\xi(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}]+\sum_{N_j<N_i}\|P_{\xi(Y_k^{N_j}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\lesssim\|u\|^2_{X(Y_k^{N_j})}+\epsilon^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Finally, for $1\leq N_i<N_j$, suppose (p,q) is a d-admissible pair.

$$\|P_{\xi(t),N_i}u\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\lesssim(\frac{N_j}{N_i})^{1/p}(\delta^{1/2p}+\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}),\tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\|P_{\xi(t),N_i}u\|_{L_t^pL_x^q(Y_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\lesssim(\frac{N_j}{N_i})^{1/p}(\delta^{1/2p}+\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}).\tag{4.10}$$

Proof: First consider

$$\sum_{1\leq N_i<N_j}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})\sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\tag{4.11}$$

$$+\sum_{1\leq N_i<N_j}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})\sum_{Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\tag{4.12}$$

$$+\sum_{1\leq N_i<N_j}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})\sum_{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}\subset G_k^{N_j}}\|P_{\xi(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}.\tag{4.13}$$

By the definition of $X(G_k^{N_j})$, (4.11) $\leq\|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})}$. Next,

$$(4.13)=\sum_{J_l\subset G_k^{N_j}}\sum_{1\leq N_i\leq\frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta}}(\frac{N_i}{N_j})\|P_{\xi(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}),N_i}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^d)}$$

$$= \sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} \sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq \frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}}} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \|P_{\xi(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}), N_i} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2 + \sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} \sum_{\frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}} \leq N_i \leq \frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta}} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \|P_{\xi(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}), N_i} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} \frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}} + \epsilon^2 \frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta} \lesssim \delta^{1/2} + \epsilon^2.$$

The last inequality follows from

$$\sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} N(J_l) < \delta N_j,$$

combined with the fact that $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \leq \frac{2^{-20} N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}}$ for $t_1, t_2 \in J_l$.

Finally, let $\sharp\{Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset\}$ denote the number of yellow intervals at level N_i that overlap $G_k^{N_j}$. Let $\sharp\{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}\}$ denote the number of red intervals at level N_i that are subsets of $G_k^{N_j}$. We have

$$\sharp\{Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset\} \leq \sharp\{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}\} + 1.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.12) \lesssim \|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}^2 \sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sharp\{Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sharp\{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}\} = \sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} \sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq \frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta}} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \lesssim \sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} \frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta} \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore, $(4.12) \lesssim \|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}^2$.

It is clear from the definition of $\|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}$ that

$$\sum_{N_j \leq N_i} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}), N_i} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_k^{N_j})}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}^2.$$

This proves (4.7). A similar argument proves (4.8).

Remark: The estimates

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sharp\{Y_{\alpha}^{N_i} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset\} \lesssim 1 \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}} \|P_{\xi(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}), N_i} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2 \lesssim \epsilon^2 \tag{4.15}$$

will be useful at various places throughout the rest of the paper. We can make the same estimates for $\sharp\{Y_{\alpha}^{N_i} \cap Y_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset\}$ and $R_{\alpha''}^{N_i} \subset Y_k^{N_j}$.

Moving on to (4.9) and (4.10), for $t_1, t_2 \in G_k^{N_j}$, $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \leq 2^{-20} \delta^{1/2} N_j$. So for $N_i \geq N_j$, by Strichartz estimates

$$\|P_{\xi(t), N_i} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(G_k^{N_j} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \lesssim \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_k^{N_j} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}.$$

If $N_i < N_j$, $G_k^{N_j}$ overlaps $\lesssim (\frac{N_j}{N_i})$ green intervals at level N_i. This is because $G_k^{N_j}$ is a union of $\leq N_j$ small intervals, $\sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} N(J_l) < \delta N_j$, and because every green interval at level N_i is either a length green interval or a weight green interval.

$G_k^{N_j}$ also overlaps $\lesssim (\frac{N_j}{N_i})$ yellow intervals at level N_i. This is because $\sharp\{Y_{\alpha'}^{N_i} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset\} \leq \{R_{\alpha''}^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}\} + 1$ and $N(R_{\alpha''}^{N_i}) > \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$. Similarly, $Y_k^{N_j}$ overlaps $\lesssim (\frac{N_j}{N_i})$ green intervals at level N_i and $\lesssim (\frac{N_j}{N_i})$ yellow intervals at level N_i. For $N_i \leq N_j$ a green or yellow interval at level N_i overlaps ≤ 2 green or yellow intervals at level N_j.

These facts concerning the overlap of green and yellow intervals will be hugely important throughout the rest of the paper.

Finally, for $t_1, t \in G_{\alpha}^{N_2}$, $t_1, t \in Y_{\alpha}^{N_2}$, and $t_1, t \in R_{\alpha''}^{N_2}$ when $N(R_{\alpha''}^{N_2}) \leq \frac{\delta^{1/2} N_2}{2}$, $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t)| \leq 2^{-20} N_2$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{G_{\beta}^{N_2} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_{\beta}^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(G_{\beta}^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^p + \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_2} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(Y_{\beta'}^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(Y_{\beta'}^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^p$$

$$+ \sum_{R_{\beta''}^{N_2} \subset G_k^{N_j}, N(R_{\beta''}) > \frac{\delta^{1/2} N_2}{2}} \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(R_{\beta''}^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^p + \sum_{R_{\beta''}^{N_2} \subset G_k^{N_j}, N(R_{\beta''}) \leq \frac{\delta^{1/2} N_2}{2}} \|P_{\xi(R_{\beta''}^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(R_{\beta''}^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^p$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_j}{N_2})(\delta^{1/2} + \epsilon^p + \|u\|^p_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

This paper addresses the L^2 - critical initial value problem for $d = 2$, and a subsequent paper will address the case when $d = 1$. Therefore, we want to prove a result similar to theorem 3.2 for $d = 1$, 2.

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose $u(t)$ is a minimal mass blowup solution to*

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t + \Delta u &= F(u), \\ u(0,x) &= u_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d), \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for $d = 1, 2$, $\mu = \pm 1$. There exists a constant C such that for $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ sufficiently small, for any dyadic integer M, if there exist small intervals J_l with

$$[0,T] = \cup_{l=1}^M J_l,$$

$$\sum_{J_l \subset [0,T]} N(J_l) = \frac{\delta M}{2},$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d}}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^d)} = \epsilon_0,$$

then

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_M([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^d)} \leq C\epsilon. \tag{4.17}$$

In order to prove this theorem we will use two intermediate lemmas whose proof will be postponed.

Lemma 4.3 *If $u(t)$ satisfies the conditions in theorem 4.2, then*

$$\|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})} \lesssim \epsilon^2 \tag{4.18}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{1\leq N_i \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} \sum_{\max(1, \frac{N_i}{32}) \leq N_1 \leq 32 N_i} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.19}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{N_j<N_i} \sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32})\leq N_1\leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.20}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sup_{1\leq N_i<N_j} \sup_{Y_\alpha^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset} \sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32})\leq N_1\leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.21}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset} (\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \tag{4.22}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{N_j<N_i} (\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \tag{4.23}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sup_{1\leq N_i<N_j} \sup_{Y_\alpha^{N_i}\cap G_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset} (\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2. \tag{4.24}$$

Similarly, we prove

Lemma 4.4 *If $u(t)$ satisfies the conditions in theorem 4.2, then*

$$\|u\|^2_{X(Y_k^{N_j})} \lesssim \epsilon^2 \tag{4.25}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset} \sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32})\leq N_1\leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.26}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{N_j<N_i} \sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32})\leq N_1\leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(Y_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.27}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sup_{1\leq N_i<N_j} \sup_{Y_\alpha^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset} \sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32})\leq N_1\leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.28}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset} (\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \tag{4.29}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sum_{N_j<N_i} (\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(Y_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \tag{4.30}$$

$$+ (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \sup_{1\leq N_i\leq N_j} \sup_{Y_\alpha^{N_i}\cap Y_k^{N_j}\neq\emptyset} (\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2. \tag{4.31}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2 assuming lemmas 4.3 and 4.4: The goal is to obtain an estimate similar to (3.15), from which it will be possible to make a continuity argument to prove theorem 4.2.

Terms (4.24) and (4.31): For $1 \leq N_i < N_j$, $N_1 \geq N_i$,

$$\|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{X(Y_\alpha^{N_i})}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.24) \lesssim (\epsilon^2 + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2)\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.31) \lesssim (\epsilon^2 + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2)\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2.$$

Terms (4.23) and (4.30): By Holder's inequality,

$$(\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2$$

$$\lesssim (\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2)(\sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4}).$$

Because $\|f * g\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1}\|g\|_{L^1}$,

$$(4.23) \lesssim (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)})^2 \sum_{N_j<N_i} \sum_{32N_i<N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2$$

$$\lesssim (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}^2.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.30) \lesssim (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \|u\|_{X(Y_k^{N_j})}^2.$$

Terms (4.21) and (4.28): For any $Y_\alpha^{N_i} \subset [0,T]$, $N_i \leq N_j$, by (4.8) and $|\xi(t) - \xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i})| \leq 2^{-20}\delta^{1/2}N_1$ on $Y_\alpha^{N_1}$,

$$\sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32}) \leq N_1 \leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2 \lesssim \sum_{N_i \leq N_1} \|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2$$

$$+ \sum_{\max(\frac{1}{2},\frac{N_i}{64}) \leq N_1 \leq N_i} [\sum_{G_\beta^{N_1} \cap Y_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_1}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\beta^{N_1}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2 + \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \cap Y_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_1}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_{\beta'}^{N_1}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2$$

$$+ \sum_{R_{\beta''}^{N_1} \subset Y_\alpha^{N_i}} \|P_{\xi(R_{\beta''}^{N_1}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(R_{\beta''}^{N_1}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2] \lesssim \|u\|_{X(Y_\alpha^{N_i})}^2 + \epsilon^2.$$

This implies $(4.21) \lesssim (\epsilon^2 + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2)^2$ and $(4.28) \lesssim (\epsilon^2 + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2)^2$.

Terms (4.20) and (4.27):

Analogously, using (4.7) and (4.8), for $N_j < N_i$,

$$\sum_{N_j \leq N_i} \sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32}) \leq N_1 \leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}^2 + \epsilon^2, \tag{4.32}$$

and

$$\sum_{N_j \leq N_i} \sum_{\max(1,\frac{N_i}{32}) \leq N_1 \leq 32N_i} \|P_{\xi(Y_k^{N_j}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_k^{N_j}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{X(Y_k^{N_j})}^2 + \epsilon^2. \tag{4.33}$$

Therefore, $(4.20) \lesssim (\epsilon^2 + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2)^2$ and $(4.27) \lesssim (\epsilon^2 + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2)^2$.

Terms (4.22) and (4.29):

Now apply Holder's inequality to (4.22).

$$(\sum_{32N_i < N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2 \lesssim \sum_{32N_i < N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}^2.$$

At each level $1 \leq N_i < N_j$ there are at most two green intervals that overlap $G_k^{N_j}$ but are not subsets of $G_k^{N_j}$, we'll call them $G_L^{N_i}$ and $G_R^{N_i}$ for left and right.

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{32 N_i < N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} (\| P_{\xi(G_L^{N_i}), N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_L^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2 + \| P_{\xi(G_R^{N_i}, N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_R^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2)$$

$$\lesssim \| u \|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2 \sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{32 N_i < N_1} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \lesssim \| u \|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}}^2.$$

Now take $G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}$ or $G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \subset Y_k^{N_j}$. Recall that for $N_1 > N_i$ a green interval at level N_1 overlaps $\lesssim (\frac{N_1}{N_i})$ green intervals $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$ and a yellow interval at level N_1 overlaps $\lesssim (\frac{N_1}{N_i})$ green intervals $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$. Finally, if J_l is a red interval at level N_1, then J_l is a red interval for $N_i \leq N_1$, so a green interval at level N_i will not contain any red intervals at level N_1. Therefore, for $N_1 \geq N_i$,

$$\| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{G_{\beta}^{N_1} \cap G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), \frac{N_1}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4 N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\beta}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2 + \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \cap G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), \frac{N_1}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4 N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2. \tag{4.34}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}} \sum_{32 N_i < N_1 \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{32 N_i < N_1 \leq N_j} \sum_{G_{\beta}^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} (\frac{N_1}{N_i}) (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\beta}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2 \tag{4.35}$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{32 N_i < N_1 < N_j} \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} (\frac{N_1}{N_i}) (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (Y_{\beta}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2.$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{32 N_i < N_1 \leq N_j} \sum_{G_{\beta}^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} (\frac{N_1}{N_i}) (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\beta}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2$$

$$= \sum_{32 < N_1 \leq N_j} (\frac{N_1}{N_j}) \sum_{G_{\beta}^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} \| P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\beta}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}^2 \sum_{1 \leq N_i < \frac{N_1}{32}} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \lesssim \| u \|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}^2.$$

Next, using (4.8),

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{32 N_i < N_1 < N_j} \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} (\frac{N_1}{N_i})(\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.36}$$

$$\lesssim \|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})} \sum_{32 < N_1 \leq N_j} (\frac{N_1}{N_j}) \sharp\{Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset\} \sum_{1 \leq N_i < \frac{N_1}{32}} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/4} \lesssim \|u\|^2_{X(G_k^{N_j})}. \tag{4.37}$$

Therefore we have

$$(4.22) \lesssim \|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}} (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}})^2.$$

Almost identically, we can prove

$$(4.29) \lesssim \|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}} (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}})^2.$$

Terms (4.19) and (4.26): Once again, at each level N_i there are at most two green intervals that overlap $G_k^{N_j}$ but are not subsets of $G_k^{N_j}$. By (4.32),

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{\max(1, \frac{N_i}{32}) \leq N_1 \leq 32 N_i} (\|P_{\xi(G_L^{N_i}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_L^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} + \|P_{\xi(G_R^{N_i}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_R^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}) \lesssim (\|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}} + \epsilon^2). \tag{4.38}$$

For $\frac{N_i}{32} \leq N_1 \leq 32 N_i$, a green interval or yellow interval at level N_1 overlaps $\lesssim 1$ green or yellow intervals at level N_i. Also, a green or yellow interval at level N_i overlaps $\lesssim 1$ green or yellow intervals at level N_1.

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{\frac{N_i}{32} \leq N_1 \leq 32 N_i} \sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^d)}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\frac{N_j}{4} \leq N_1 \leq 32 N_j} \|P_{\xi(G_k^{N_j}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_k^{N_j} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} + \sum_{1 \leq N_1 < N_j} (\frac{N_1}{N_j}) \sum_{G_\beta^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_\beta^{N_1}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_\beta^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.39}$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq N_1 < N_j} (\frac{N_1}{N_j}) \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \cap G_k^{N_j} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(Y_{\beta'}^{N_1}), N_1} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(Y_{\beta'}^{N_1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \tag{4.40}$$

$$+\sum_{1\leq N_1<N_j}(\frac{N_1}{N_j})\sum_{R_{\beta''}^{N_1}\subset G_k^{N_j}}\|P_{\xi(R_{\beta''}^{N_1}),N_1}u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(R_{\beta''}^{N_1}\times\mathbf{R}^d)}. \tag{4.41}$$

Using (4.14) to estimate (4.40), (4.15) to estimate (4.41), and the definition of $\|u\|_{X(G_k^{N_j})}$, (4.19) $\lesssim (\epsilon^2+\|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}})^2$. Similarly we can prove (4.26) $\lesssim (\epsilon^2+\|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}})^2$.

This gives the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\lesssim \epsilon+(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_j}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)})^2. \tag{4.42}$$

We can now prove theorem 4.2 by induction. All the green intervals at level one will be small intervals $J_l=[a_l,b_l]$ with $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d}}(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^d)}=\epsilon_0$ and $N(J_l)\leq\frac{\delta}{2}$. By (3.10),

$$\sup_{G_k^1\subset[0,T]}\|u\|^2_{X(G_k^1)}\lesssim\epsilon^2. \tag{4.43}$$

There aren't any yellow intervals at level one. This implies

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_1([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\leq C\epsilon, \tag{4.44}$$

with C independent of ϵ. Now suppose that for some dyadic $1\leq N_0<M$, $1\leq N\leq N_0$, N dyadic,

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_N([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\leq C\epsilon. \tag{4.45}$$

Then making a crude estimate and applying (4.42),

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{2N_0}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^d)}\leq\frac{C}{2}\epsilon+\frac{C}{2}(\epsilon+2C\epsilon)^2\leq C\epsilon, \tag{4.46}$$

for $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small. By induction this proves theorem 4.2. □

Start of the proof of lemma 4.3 and lemma 4.4: The proof of lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 will be substantially different when $d=1$ and when $d=2$. Therefore, we will only consider the $d=2$ case for the rest of the paper. The $d=1$ case will be discussed in an upcoming paper.

Take a yellow interval $Y_\alpha^{N_i}$. For any $a_{\alpha,N_i}\in Y_\alpha^{N_i}$, the solution to (1.1) has the form

$$e^{i(t-a_{\alpha,N_i})\Delta}u(a_{\alpha,N_i})-i\int_{a_\alpha^{N_i}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}F(u(\tau))d\tau. \tag{4.47}$$

Since $N(t)\leq\frac{\delta N_i}{2}$ on $Y_\alpha^{N_i}$ and $|\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i})-\xi(t)|\leq 2^{-20}\delta^{1/2}N_i$ for any $t\in Y_\alpha^{N_i}$,

$$\|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}u(a_{\alpha,N_i})\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}\leq\epsilon.$$

Next take $G_L^{N_i}$ and $G_R^{N_i}$. For $a_{L,N_i} \in G_L^{N_i}$ and $a_{R,N_i} \in G_R^{N_i}$,

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_i < N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j})(\|P_{\xi(G_L^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_{L,N_i})\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|P_{\xi(G_R^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_{R,N_i})\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}) \lesssim \epsilon^2.$$

If $G_\alpha^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}$ is a length green interval, we can choose a_{α,N_i} such that

$$\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_{\alpha,N_i})\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{J_l \subset G_\alpha^{N_i}} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_l)\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{4.48}$$

where $J_l = [a_l, b_l]$. If G_{α,N_i} is a weight green interval then we can choose $a_{\alpha,N_i} \in G_{\alpha,N_i}$ such that

$$\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_{\alpha,N_i})\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \frac{2}{\delta N_i} \sum_{J_l \subset G_\alpha^{N_i}} N(J_l) \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_l)\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{4.49}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq N_j} (\frac{N_i}{N_j}) \sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_{\alpha,N_i})\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{G_\alpha^{N_i} \subset G_k^{N_j}} \sum_{J_l \subset G_\alpha^{N_i}} \sum_{1 \leq N_i \leq N_j, \frac{2N(J_l)}{\delta} \leq N_i} (1 + \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta}) \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} u(a_l)\|^2_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{J_l \subset G_k^{N_j}} (1 + \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta}) \epsilon^2 \lesssim \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we turn to the Duhamel term

$$\int_{a_{\alpha,N_i}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} (F(u(\tau))) d\tau.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} (|u|^2 u) = {} & P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} (|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \leq 32N_i} u|^2 (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \leq 32N_i})) \\ & + P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_i} (O((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), > 32N_i} u)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), > N_i} u) u)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Take v supported on $|\xi - \xi(G_\alpha^{N_i})| \sim N_i$, $\|v\|_{V^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$. Making bilinear estimates,

$$\int_{G_\alpha^{N_i}} \langle v, O((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), > N_i} u) u) \rangle dt$$

$$\lesssim \|v(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)\|^{7/9}_{L_t^{7/3}L_x^{7/4}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|v\|^{2/9}_{L_t^{13/6}L_x^{26}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|^{2/9}_{L_t^{13/6}L_x^{26}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$
$$\times\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>N_i}u\|_{L_t^{13/6}L_x^{26}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/3}\|v\|_{V_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>N_i}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\int_{a_{\alpha,N_i}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_i}(O((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>32N_i}u)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>N_i}u)u))(\tau)d\tau\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}\sum_{N_1\geq 32N_i}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/3}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

This term is acceptable for lemmas 4.3 and 4.4.

Similarly, for the interval $Y_\alpha^{N_i}$,

$$\int_{Y_\alpha^{N_i}}\langle v,(O((P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),>32N_i}u)(P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),>N_i}u)u))\rangle dt\lesssim \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}\sum_{N_1\geq 32N_i}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/3}\|P_{\xi(Y_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)},$$

which is also acceptable for lemmas 4.3 and 4.4.

Expanding the first term in (4.50),

$$\begin{aligned}&P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}(|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 32N_i}u|^2(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 32N_i}u))\\ =\ &P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}(|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),2^{-15}N_i\leq\cdot\leq 32N_i}u|^2(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),2^{-15}N_i\leq\cdot\leq 32N_i}u))\end{aligned}\tag{4.51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&+2P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}(|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),2^{-15}N_i\leq\cdot\leq 32N_i}u|^2(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 2^{-15}N_i}u))\\ &+P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),2^{-15}N_i\leq\cdot\leq 32N_i}u)^2(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),2^{-15}N_i\leq\cdot\leq 32N_i}\bar{u})\end{aligned}\tag{4.52}$$

$$+P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 2^{-15}N_i}u)^2(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{32}\leq\cdot\leq 32N_i}\bar{u}))\tag{4.53}$$

$$+2P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_i}(|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 2^{-15}N_i}u|^2(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_i}{32}\leq\cdot\leq 32N_i}u)).\tag{4.54}$$

Because $L_t^{8/5} L_x^{8/7} \subset DU_\Delta^2$, we want to estimate

$$\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_i}(O((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), 2^{-15} N_i \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} u)^2 (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \leq 32 N_i} u)))\|_{L_t^{8/5} L_x^{8/7}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} u\|_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), 2^{-15} N_i \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} u\|_{L_{t,x}^4 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \leq 32 N_i} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}} + \epsilon) \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} u\|_{U_\Delta^2 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

The last inequality uses $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim 1$ and

$$\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), 2^{-15} N_i \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} u\|_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), 2^{-15} N_i \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} u\|_{U_\Delta^2 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u\|_{X(G_\alpha^{N_i})} + \epsilon$$

by lemma 4.1.

Remark: If $N(J_l) < \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$,

$$\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4 N_i} (|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5} (J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), > \frac{N_i}{32}} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 (J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6 (J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \epsilon.$$

So for $1 \leq N_i \leq 2^{15}$, since $G_\alpha^{N_i}$ and $Y_\alpha^{N_i}$ are a union of $\leq 2^{15}$ small intervals,

$$\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4 N_i} (|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5} (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon.$$

The same is true for $Y_\alpha^{N_i}$.

The estimates of (4.53) and (4.54) will be substanitally more difficult than the previous estimates have been. Therefore, we will postpone the proof of the upcoming lemma, lemma 4.5, to a later section. Assuming that lemma 4.5 is true, the proof of lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 is complete. $\Box$

Lemma 4.5 *The Duhamel terms*

$$\|\int_{a_{\alpha, N_i}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4 N_i} ((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \leq 2^{-15} N_i} u)^2 (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} \bar{u}))(\tau) d\tau\|_{U_\Delta^2 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{4.55}$$

and

$$\|\int_{a_{\alpha, N_i}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4 N_i} (|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \leq 2^{-15} N_i} u|^2 (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), \frac{N_i}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_i} u))(\tau) d\tau\|_{U_\Delta^2 (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{4.56}$$

are acceptable for lemmas 4.3 and 4.4.

(4.55) will be estimated in §7 and (4.56) will be estimated in §8.

5 The case when $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N(t)^3 dt < \infty$

In this section, we show how theorem 4.2 implies that the minimal mass blowup scenario (**??**) does not exist.

Theorem 5.1 *There does not exist a minimal mass blowup solution to (1.1), $\mu = +1$, with $N(t) \leq 1$ and*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N(t)^3 dt < \infty.$$

To prove this we prove an intermediate theorem, giving additional regularity of a minimal mass blowup solution to (1.1).

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose $u(t,x)$ is a minimal mass blowup solution to (1.1), $\mu = \pm 1$, and*

$$\int_0^{\infty} N(t)^3 dt = \tilde{K} < \infty. \tag{5.1}$$

Then

$$\|u(t,x)\|_{L_t^{\infty} \dot{H}_x^2([0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim_{m_0} \tilde{K}^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof: By (2.64) there exists $K_0 \sim \tilde{K}$ such if $[0,T]$ is a compact interval, M is a dyadic integer,

$$\int_0^T \int |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt = M \epsilon_0^4, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\sum_{J_l \subset [0,T]} N(J_l) = \delta K \leq \delta K_0. \tag{5.4}$$

Rescaling, $u(t,x) \mapsto \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$, $\lambda = \frac{M}{K}$, by theorem 4.2,

$$\|u_{\lambda}\|_{\tilde{X}_M([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C, \tag{5.5}$$

C is independent of T. By conservation of mass,

$$\|P_{2^l K_0} u(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 1.$$

By (4.2), (5.4), $|\xi(t) - \xi(0)| \leq 2^{-20} \delta^{1/2} K_0$.

Without loss of generality suppose $\xi(0) = 0$. By (5.4), $\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} N(t) = 0$. This implies $\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \|P_{2^l K_0} u(t)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}$ 0 for $l \geq L_0$ for some fixed L_0. Therefore,

$$\sup_T \|P_{2^l K_0} u\|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} = \sup_T \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} P_{2^l K_0}(|u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau)) d\tau \|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Splitting $|u|^2 u$,

$$P_{\geq 2^l K_0}(|u(\tau)|^2 u(\tau)) = O((P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u)(P_{\geq K_0} u) u) + 2(P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u)|P_{\leq K_0} u|^2 + (P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} \bar{u})(P_{\leq K_0} u)^2. \tag{5.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u)(P_{\geq K_0} u) u\|_{L_t^{8/5} L_x^{8/7}([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u\|_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u\|_{L^4_{t,x}([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &+ \|(P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u)(P_{K_0 \leq \cdot \leq 2^{l-5} K_0} u)\|_{L_t^{8/5} L_x^{8/7}([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \|P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u\|^{1/3}_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u\|^{5/3}_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{5.8}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{l-5} \|(P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u)\|^{2/3}_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8} \|P_{2^j K_0} u\|^{5/9}_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8} \|(P_{>2^{l-5} K_0} u)(P_{2^j K_0} u)\|^{1/3}_{L^2_{t,x}} \|P_{2^j K_0} u\|^{1/9}_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}. \tag{5.9}$$

For $l \geq 0$, let

$$\mathcal{U}(2^l) = \sup_T \|P_{>2^l K_0} u\|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{5.10}$$

$$\|P_{2^l K} u\|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} = \|P_{2^l M} u_\lambda\|_{U^2_\Delta([0, \frac{T}{\lambda^2}] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{5.11}$$

From (**??**), this proves $\mathcal{U}(2^l)$ is uniformly bounded for $l \geq 0$. Using Strichartz estimates in (5.8) and bilinear estimates in (5.9),

$$(5.8) + (5.9) \lesssim \mathcal{U}(2^{l-5}) (\sum_{j=0}^{l-5} 2^{(j-l+5)/6} \|P_{2^j K_0} u\|^{1/9}_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)}).$$

We need a lemma to handle the last two terms in (5.7).

Lemma 5.3 *If* $\|u_\lambda\|_{\tilde{X}_M([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C$,

$$\| \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{>2^{l-5}K_0}\bar{u})(P_{\leq K_0}u)^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{(5-l)/7}\|P_{>2^{l-5}K_0}u\|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{5.12}$$

$$\| \int_{-T}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{>2^{l-5}K_0}u)|P_{\leq K_0}u|^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{(5-l)/20}\|P_{>2^{l-5}K_0}u\|_{U^2_\Delta([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{5.13}$$

This lemma will also be proved in §7 and §8, since the proofs of (5.12) and (4.55) are almost identical, as are the proofs of (5.13) and (4.56). Assuming this lemma is true,

$$\mathcal{U}(2^l) \lesssim \mathcal{U}(2^{l-5})[\sum_{j=0}^{l-5} 2^{(j+5-l)/6}\|P_{>2^jK_0}u\|^{1/9}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x([0,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^2)} + 2^{(5-l)/7}]. \tag{5.14}$$

By (2.43), $N(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \pm\infty$, $\|P_{>2^jK_0}u(t)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \to 0$ uniformly in t as $j \to \infty$, so

$$[\sum_{j=0}^{l-5} 2^{(j+5-l)/6}\|P_{>2^jK_0}u\|^{1/9}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x([0,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^2)} + 2^{(5-l)/7}] \to 0$$

as $l \to 0$. Therefore, there exists an L_0 such that for $l \geq L_0$,

$$\mathcal{U}(2^l) \leq 2^{-15}\mathcal{U}(2^{l-5}). \tag{5.15}$$

By induction, this implies $\mathcal{U}(2^l) \lesssim 2^{-3l}$. This in turn implies

$$\|P_{2^lK_0}u(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x([0,\infty)\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 2^{-3l}, \tag{5.16}$$

which proves theorem 5.2. □

Proof of theorem 5.1: Take some $\eta(t) \to 0$, possibly very slowly.

$$\|e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim N(t)C(\eta(t)) + \eta(t)^{1/2}. \tag{5.17}$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} N(t) = 0$, this implies $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\|e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^2)} = 0$, and so by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla(e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}u(t,x))|^2dx + \frac{1}{4}\int |e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}u(t,x)|^4dx \tag{5.18}$$

can be made arbitrarily small.

By Holder's inequality,

$$\int |u(0,x)|^2 dx \leq \int_{|x-x(0)| \leq \frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(0)}} |u(0,x)|^2 dx + \frac{m_0^2}{1000} \leq C \|u\|_{L_x^4(\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(0)} + \frac{m_0^2}{1000}$$

$$\leq CE(u(0))^{1/2} \frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(0)} + \frac{m_0^2}{1000}. \tag{5.19}$$

Choose t_0 sufficiently large so that

$$C\frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(0)}(\frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla(e^{-ix\cdot xi(t)}u(t,x))|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4}\int |e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}u(t,x)|^4 dx)^{1/2} < \frac{m_0^2}{100}.$$

(C is the same C as found in (5.19).) Make the Galilean transformation mapping $\xi(t_0)$ to 0. $E(u(t_0)) = E(u(0))$, which implies

$$\int |u(0,x)|^2 dx < \frac{m_0^2}{100}, \tag{5.20}$$

which contradicts conservation of mass. Therefore, the proof of theorem 5.1 is complete, and scenario (3.15) is excluded. □

Remark: We cannot apply this argument directly to prove theorem 5.1 when $\mu = -1$ because $E(u(t))$ is no longer positive definite. We can modify this argument for $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}$ less than the mass of the ground state. We will not make this argument here.

6 Entirely non-resonant

In this section and §8 we will pay off our debt and prove lemmas 4.5 and 5.3. In this section we will estimate the terms (4.55) and (5.12). These terms are easier to estimate because they are entirely non-resonant, while the terms (4.56) and (5.13) will be called the "mixed" terms.

Take the interval $[0,T]$, with

$$\int_0^T \int |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt = M\epsilon_0^4,$$

$$\sum_{J_l \subset [0,T]} N(J_l) = \delta M,$$

M is a dyadic integer. To show that (4.55) and (5.12) satisfy lemmas 4.5 and 5.3 respectively, it suffices to show

Lemma 6.1 *For* $\frac{N_i}{32} \le N_1$,

$$\| \int_{a_\alpha^{N_i}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(\tau),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\le 2^{-15}N_i}u)^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{6.1}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{4/5}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_1}{32}\le\cdot\le 32N_1}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}([0,T])}) \tag{6.2}$$

$$+ (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{4/5}\sum_{32N_1<N_4}(\frac{N_1}{N_4})^{1/3}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_4}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}([0,T])}). \tag{6.3}$$

To simplify our notation we will assume $G_\alpha^{N_i} = [0,b]$ and $a_{\alpha,N_i} = 0$. The arguments in this case can be extended to the general case with ease. We will also let $J_1 < J_2$ signify that the interval J_1 lies to the left of J_2, and $J_1 \cap J_2$ is a set of a finite number of points. To evaluate (6.1), it suffices to evaluate

$$\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\le\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}}u)^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{6.4}$$

$$+ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}\le\cdot\le\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}}u)^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{6.5}$$

$$+ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\ge\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}}u)^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{6.6}$$

where $N(J_l(\tau)) = N(J_l)$ when $\tau \in J_l$. We also define the function $N(\tau)$ for $\tau \in J_l$,

$$N(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta} < 1; \\ N(J_l), & \text{if } \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta} \ge 1. \end{cases} \tag{6.7}$$

Let $J_l = [a_l, b_l]$. First take (6.4). Define

$$u_{nl}^{J_l,N_1}(t) = \int_{a_l}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_i}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\le\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}}u)^2(\tau)d\tau. \tag{6.8}$$

Then for $t \in J_\beta$,

$$\int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\le\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}}u)^2(\tau)d\tau = \sum_{J_l<J_\beta} e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}u_{nl}^{J_l,N_1}(b_l) + u_{nl}^{J_\beta,N_1}(t). \tag{6.9}$$

Therefore, the Duhamel term can be decomposed into a superposition of solutions to $iv_t + \Delta v = 0$ and a sum of terms supported on disjoint small interval J_l. This decomposition is very useful for estimating the U_Δ^2 norm of the left hand side of (6.1), and will be used throughout this section and the next.

For $t_1, t_2 \in G_\alpha^{N_i}$, $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \leq 2^{-20}\delta^{1/2} N_i$. Also, since $N(t) \leq \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$ on $G_\alpha^{N_i}$ and $N_1 \geq \frac{N_i}{32}$,

$$(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)^2(\tau)$$

is supported on $\{\xi : |\xi - \xi(\tau)| \sim N_1 \quad \forall \tau \in G_\alpha^{N_i}\}$. Take $\hat{F}$ supported on this set, $\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$.

$$\langle F, \int_{a_l}^{b_l} e^{i(b_l - \tau)\Delta} (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)^2(\tau) d\tau \rangle$$

$$= \int_{a_l}^{b_l} \langle e^{i(\tau - b_l)\Delta} F, (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)^2(\tau) \rangle d\tau$$

$$\lesssim \|(e^{i(\tau - b_l)\Delta} F)(P_{\xi(a_l), \leq 2\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(a_l), \leq 2\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

The last inequality is the bilinear estimate in proposition 2.6, and the second to last inequality follows from the fact that $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \leq 2^{-20} \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}}$ for $t_1, t_2 \in J_l$.

Now take v supported on $\{\xi : |\xi - \xi(\tau)| \sim N_1 \quad \forall \tau \in G_\alpha^{N_i}\}$.

$$\int_{a_l}^{b_l} \langle v, (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)^2(\tau) \rangle d\tau$$

$$\lesssim \|v(P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)\|_{L_t^{20/9} L_x^{20/11}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^{4/5}$$

$$\times \|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} \bar{u})\|_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/5} \|(P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u)\|_{L_t^{8/3} L_x^8(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/5}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2} N_1})^{17/20} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Therefore,

$$(6.4) \lesssim \sum_{J_l \subset G_\alpha^{N_i}} \|u_{nl}^{J_l, N_1}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + (\sum_{J_l \subset G_\alpha^{N_i}} \|u_{nl}^{J_l, N_1}(t)\|_{U_\Delta^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim \|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} (\sum_{J_l \subset G_{\alpha}^{N_i}} \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2} N_1} + (\sum_{J_l \subset G_{\alpha}^{N_i}} \frac{N(J_l)^{17/10}}{\delta N_1^{17/10}})^{1/2})$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{1/2} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{17/20} \|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R})}.$$

We used the fact that $N(J_l) \leq \frac{\delta N_i}{2}$ on $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$.

Because $\delta \leq \epsilon^{10}$, (6.4) satisfies lemma 6.1.

Next, because $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \leq 2^{-20} \frac{N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}}$ for $t_1, t_2 \in J_l$,

$$\|P_{\xi(J_l), \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{2\delta^{1/2}} \leq \cdot \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon. \tag{6.10}$$

Therefore, making an argument similar to the estimate of (6.4), we can prove

$$(6.5) \lesssim \epsilon^2 (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{17/20} \|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Remark: The non-resonance of terms (6.4) and (6.5) did not enter into their estimates at all. The exact same methods can be used to handle the mixed terms and prove

$$\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} (P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1} u) |P_{\xi(\tau), \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u|^2(\tau) d\tau \|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$+ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} (P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1} u) |P_{\xi(\tau), \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}^{1/2} \leq \cdot \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}} u|^2(\tau) d\tau \|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \epsilon^2 (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{17/20} \|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

The estimate of (6.6) in the non-resonant case will be considerably more involved, and will be even more complicated still in the mixed case. For now, we take $N(\tau)$ defined by (6.7) and consider

$$(6.6) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} (P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1} \bar{u}) (\sum_{N_2 = N_l(\tau)}^{2^{-15} N_i} P_{\xi(\tau),N_2} u) (\sum_{N_3 = N_l(\tau)}^{2^{-15} N_i} P_{\xi(\tau),N_3} u)(\tau) d\tau. \tag{6.11}$$

Without loss of generality suppose $N_3 \leq N_2$.

Case 1, $N_2 \leq 1$, $N_3 \leq 1$: Let $J_l = [a_l, b_l]$. For $t \in J_l$, let

$$u_{nl,l}^{N_1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(t) = \int_{a_l}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\leq 1}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),\leq 1}u)(\tau)d\tau. \tag{6.12}$$

Because $N(J_l) \leq \delta$, $|\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)| \leq 2^{-20}\delta^{1/2}$ for $t_1, t_2 \in J_l$. Take $\hat{F}$ supported on $\{\xi : |\xi - \xi(t)| \sim N_1 \quad \forall t \in G_\alpha^{N_i}\}$, $\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$.

$$\int_{a_l}^{b_l} \langle F, e^{i(b_l-t)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),\leq 1}u)(P_{\xi(t),\leq 1}u)(t)dt\rangle$$

$$= \int_{a_l}^{b_l} \langle e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}F, (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),\leq 1}u)(P_{\xi(t),\leq 1}u)(t)\rangle dt$$

$$\lesssim \|(e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}F)(P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 2}\bar{u})\|_{L_{t,x}^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 2}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{\delta^{1/4}}{N_1^{1/2}}\|P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 10\delta^{1/2}}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|P_{\xi(a_l),10\delta^{1/2}<\cdot\leq 2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)})^2\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\delta^{1/2} + \epsilon^2)(\frac{1}{N_1})\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{N_l=\frac{1}{2}, J_l\subset G_\alpha^{N_i}} \|u_{nl,l}^{N_1,1/2,1/2}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon(\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{6.13}$$

Next take $\|v\|_{V_\Delta^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$, v supported on $\{\xi : |\xi - \xi(t)| \sim N_i \quad \forall t \in G_\alpha^{N_i}\}$.

$$\int_{J_l} \langle v, (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 2}u)(P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 2}u)\rangle dt$$

$$\lesssim \|(P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 2}u)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})\|_{L_{t,x}^2(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{4/5}\|v(P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 2}u)\|_{L_t^{20/9}L_x^{20/11}(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\times\|(P_{\xi(a_l),\leq 2}u)\|_{L_t^{8/3}L_x^8(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/5}\|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})\|_{L_t^{8/3}L_x^8(J_l\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/5}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N_1^{17/20}}\min((\frac{N(J_l)}{\delta^{1/2}})^{9/20} + \epsilon, 1).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\| \int_{a_{\alpha}^{N_i}}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}\leq\cdot\leq 1}u)^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ \lesssim \epsilon(\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{17/20}\|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u}\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Case 2, $N_2 \geq 1$: If $t \in G_{\beta}^{N_2}$,

$$\int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u)(\tau)d\tau = \sum_{G_l^{N_2}\cap G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\neq\emptyset, G_l^{N_2}<G_{\beta}^{N_2}} e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_l)$$

$$+\sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2}\cap G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\neq\emptyset, Y_{l'}^{N_2}<G_{\beta}^{N_2}} e^{i(t-b_{l'})\Delta}u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_{l'})+\sum_{R_{l''}^{N_2}\subset G_{\alpha}^{N_i}, R_{l''}^{N_2}<G_{\beta}^{N_2}} e^{i(t-b_{l''})\Delta}u_{nl}^{R_{l''}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_{l'})+u_{nl}^{G_{\beta}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(t),$$

where $G_l^{N_2} = [a_l, b_l]$, $Y_{l'}^{N_2} = [a_{l'}, b_{l'}]$, and $R_{l''}^{N_2} = [a_{l''}, b_{l''}]$. On $[a_l, b_l]$,

$$u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(t) = \int_{a_l}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u)(\tau)d\tau. \tag{6.15}$$

$u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(t)$ and $u_{nl}^{R_{l''}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(t)$ are similarly defined on $[a_{l'}, b_{l'}]$ and $[a_{l''}, b_{l''}]$ respectively.

Remark: There will possibly be two green or yellow intervals at level N_2 that intersect $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$ but are not contained in $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$, one at the left endpoint and one at the right endpoint. For the left interval, let

$$u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u)(\tau)d\tau.$$

Such an adjustment will not raise any additional complications whatsoever. We do not need to worry about the interval at the right endpoint.

Remark: We could in fact copy the estimates for $N_2 \leq 1$, $N_3 \leq 1$ to any pair N_2, N_3. There are $\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_2})$ green intervals and $\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_2})$ yellow intervals at level N_2 that overlap $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$. Take v supported on $|\xi - \xi(t)| \sim N_1$, $\|v\|_{V_{\Delta}^2(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$, $t \in G_l^{N_2}$.

$$\int_{G_l^{N_2}} \langle v, (P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u)\rangle d\tau = \int_{G_l^{N_2}} \langle v(P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}),N_1}u), (P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u)\rangle d\tau$$

$$\lesssim \|v(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{3/2}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}u\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{3+}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_3^{1/3-}}{N_1^{1/3-}}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Also,

$$\int_{G_l^{N_2}}\langle v,(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_2}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)\rangle dt$$

$$\lesssim \|v(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)\|_{L_t^{20/9}L_x^{20/11}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{9/10}\|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{9/10}$$

$$\times\|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)\|_{L_t^{60/29}L_x^{60}((G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/10}\|v\|_{L_t^{60/29}L_x^{60}((G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/10}$$

$$\times\|(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u)\|_{L_t^{60/29}L_x^{60}((G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/10}\|(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)\|_{L_t^{\infty}L_x^{2}((G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/10}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1})^{171/200}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(1+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_M}),$$

by lemma 4.1. Since we are bootstrapping small $\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_M}$, we take $\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_M}\lesssim 1$. By interpolation,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{G_l^{N_2}}\langle v,(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_2}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)\rangle dt\\ &\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1})^{3/5}(\frac{N_3}{N_1})^{1/20}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

This implies,

$$\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}\sum_{1/2\leq N_3\leq N_2}(\sum_{G_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset}\|u_{nl,l}^{N_1,N_2,N_3}(t)\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{13/20}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

By a similar estimate

$$\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}\sum_{1/2\leq N_3\leq N_2}(\sum_{Y_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset}\|u_{nl,l}^{N_1,N_2,N_3}(t)\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{13/20} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{\xi(Y_l^{N_2}),N_2} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_l^{N_2}\times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Remark: These computations can be followed almost identically for the mixed case as well. Take

$$\int_{G_l^{N_2}} \langle v, (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3} u)\rangle d\tau = \int_{G_l^{N_2}} \langle v(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2} u), (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3} u)\rangle d\tau,$$

and then proceed exactly as before. This time use corollary 2.10 to estimate $\|v(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2} u)\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{3/2}}$.

However, the estimate

$$\|u_{nl,l}^{N_1,N_2,N_3}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1}) \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

is not quite sufficient, since

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} \sum_{1/2 \leq N_3 \leq N_2} \sum_{G_l^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} (\frac{N_2}{N_1}) \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

diverges logarithmically. Therefore, it is necessary to integrate by parts in time to obtain improved estimates. Here is where the treatment of the non-resonant term and the mixed terms are substantially different.

If u solves (1.1) then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{-it\Delta} u) &= -i e^{-it\Delta}(|u|^2 u), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{it\Delta} \bar{u}) &= i e^{it\Delta}(|u|^2 \bar{u}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Also, if $m(\xi)$ is a Fourier multiplier,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} m(\xi) e^{it|\xi|^2} \hat{u}(t,\xi) &= -i m(\xi) e^{it|\xi|^2} (\widehat{|u|^2 u})(t,\xi), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} m(\xi) e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi) &= i m(\xi) e^{-it|\xi|^2} (\widehat{|u|^2 \bar{u}})(t,\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

First take $u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_l)$. Take $\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$, $\hat{F}$ supported on $|\xi - \xi(G_\alpha^{N_i})| \sim N_i$. Taking the Fourier transform,

$$\langle F, \int_{a_l}^{b_l} e^{i(b_l - t)\Delta} (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u)(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} u)(t) dt\rangle, \tag{6.19}$$

$$= \int_{a_l}^{b_l} \int\int\int \hat{\bar{F}}(-\xi - \eta_2 - \eta_3) e^{i(t-\alpha N_2)|\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi)$$
$$\times P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_2) P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_3) d\xi d\eta_2 d\eta_3 dt.$$

Let

$$q(\xi,\eta) = |\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2 + |\xi|^2 - |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_3|^2.$$

We call this the non-resonant case because $q(\xi,\eta) \gtrsim N_i^2$. This makes the computations much easier than for the mixed terms. Integrating by parts in time,

$$\int_{a_l}^{b_l} e^{it|\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi) P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_2) P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_3) dt$$

$$= \int_{a_l}^{b_l} \frac{1}{iq(\xi,\eta)} \frac{d}{dt}(e^{itq(\xi,\eta)})[P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi) e^{it|\eta_2|^2}$$
$$\times P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2} e^{it|\eta_3|^2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_2) P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_3)] dt$$

$$= \frac{e^{it|\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2}}{iq(\xi,\eta)} (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi))(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_2))(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_3))|_{a_l}^{b_l} \tag{6.20}$$

$$- \int_{a_l}^{b_l} \frac{1}{iq(\xi,\eta)} e^{itq(\xi,\eta)} \frac{d}{dt}[P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi) e^{it|\eta_2|^2}$$
$$\times P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2} e^{it|\eta_3|^2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_2) P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_3)] dt. \tag{6.21}$$

Because $N_2 \geq 1$, $N(t) \leq \frac{\delta N_2}{2}$ on $G_l^{N_2}$, and $q(\xi,\eta) \gtrsim N_1^2$,

$$\int\int\int \hat{\bar{F}}(\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3) \frac{e^{i(t-b_l)|\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2}}{q(\xi,\eta)} (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi))$$
$$\times (P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_2))(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_3))|_{a_l}^{b_l} d\xi d\eta_2 d\eta_3$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^2}{N_1^2} \epsilon \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{L_t^\infty L^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon N_2^2}{N_1^2} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Turning our attention to (6.21), we use the product rule to compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}[P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{\bar{u}}(t,\xi) e^{it|\eta_2|^2} P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_2) e^{it|\eta_3|^2} P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} \hat{u}(t,\eta_3)].$$

In this section and the next section, we understand that the norm $\|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q}$ refers to $\|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$ unless otherwise indicated.

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{-it|\xi|^2} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} \hat{\hat{u}}(t,\xi)) = e^{-it|\xi|^2} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}(|u_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 32N_1}|^2(u_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 32N_1}))(t,\xi)$$

$$+e^{-it|\xi|^2} P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}(O((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>32N_1} u)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>N_1} u)u))(t,\xi).$$

$$\frac{1}{N_1^2}\|(e^{i(t-\alpha N_2)\Delta} F) P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}(O((P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>32N_1} u)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>N_1} u)u))\|_{L_{t,x}^1}$$

$$\times \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^2}{N_1^2} \sum_{32N_1 < N_4} \|(e^{i(t-\alpha N_2)\Delta} F)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_4} u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^{2/3}$$

$$\times \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_4} u\|_{L_t^{5/2} L_x^{10}}^{1/3} \|e^{i(t-\alpha N_2)\Delta} F\|_{L_t^{5/2} L_x^{10}}^{1/3} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),>N_1} u\|_{L_t^{5/2} L_x^{10}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^2}{N_1^2}(\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}} + \epsilon) \sum_{32N_1 < 2^\beta} (\frac{N_1}{2^\beta})^{1/3} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_4} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

In the last inequality we used lemma 4.1.

Next, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, bilinear estimates, and lemma 4.1,

$$\frac{1}{N_1^2}\|(e^{i(t-\alpha N_2)\Delta} F)(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2}$$

$$\times \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}(|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 32N_1} u|^2(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 32N_1} u))\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2} u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^{3/2}}{N_1^{5/2}} \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_1}{32}\leq \cdot \leq 32N_1} u\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\leq 32N_1} u\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^6}^2$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^{3/2}}{N_1^{5/2}} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_1}{32}\leq \cdot \leq 32N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} (\sum_{1 \leq N_4 \leq 32N_1} (\frac{N}{N_4})^{1/12} N_4^{1/2})^2$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^{3/2}}{N_1^{3/2}} (\frac{N_i}{N_1}) \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_1}{32}\leq \cdot \leq 32N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Next take

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{it|\eta_2|^2}P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}\hat{u}(t,\eta_2)) = ie^{it|\eta_2|^2}P_{\xi(t),N_2}\widehat{(|u|^2u)}(t,\eta_2).$$

$$\frac{1}{N_1^2}\|e^{i(t-\alpha N_2)\Delta}F\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}(|u|^2u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2}{N_1^2}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq N_2}u\|^3_{L^6_{t,x}}$$

$$+\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),>N_2}u\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq N_2}u\|^2_{L^8_{t,x}} + N_2\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),>N_2}u\|^2_{L^4_{t,x}}\|u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x})$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^2}{N_1^2}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}).$$

To prove the last inequality, for $N_4 \leq N_2$, interpolate

$$\|P_{\xi(t),N_4}u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\delta^{1/3}N_2^{1/3} + \epsilon^{1/2}N_2^{1/3})^{2/3}N_4^{4/9} \tag{6.22}$$

with

$$\|P_{\xi(t),N_4}u\|_{L^3_t L^6_x(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_4})^{1/3}(1+\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_2}}). \tag{6.23}$$

(6.23) follows from lemma 4.1 and (6.22) is an interpolation of

$$\|P_{\xi(t),\leq N_2}u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\delta^{2/3}N_2^{2/3} + \epsilon N_2^{2/3})$$

and

$$\|P_{\xi(t),N_4}u\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim N_4^{2/3}.$$

We can estimate $\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq N_2}u\|_{L^8_{t,x}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$ in a similar manner. By Strichartz estimates,

$$\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),>N_2}u\|_{L^4_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),>N_2}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u)P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2}(|u|^2u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}}$$

$$\lesssim (N_2^{3/2}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2}u\|^3_{L_t^{12}L_x^3}$$

$$+N_2^{3/2}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),>N_2}u\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq N_2}u\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^{8/3}}$$

$$+N_2^2\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),>N_2}u\|^2_{L^4_{t,x}}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}).$$

Therefore, by lemma 4.1,

$$\frac{1}{N_1^2}\|e^{i(t-\alpha N_2)\Delta}F\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u)P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\leq 4N_2}(|u|^2u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N_1^2}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}[(\sum_{1\leq N_4\leq N_2}(\frac{N_2}{N_4})^{1/12}N_4^{1/6})^3N_2^{3/2}+N_2^2]$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^2}{N_1^2}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

Summing over $N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i$, $G_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset$ and $Y_{l'}^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset$,

$$\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}(\frac{N_i}{N_2})(\frac{N_2^{3/2}}{N_1^{3/2}})\lesssim(\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/2},$$

completes the proof of lemma 6.1. □

7 Mixed terms

In this section we tackle the terms (4.55) and (5.13). As in the previous section it suffices to prove

Theorem 7.1 *For* $N_1\geq\frac{N_i}{32}$,

$$\|\int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)|P_{\xi(\tau),\leq 2^{-15}N_i}u|^2(\tau)d\tau\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim(\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/6-}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),\frac{N_1}{32}\leq\cdot\leq 32N_1}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}) \tag{7.1}$$

$$+(\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/6-}(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}})(\sum_{N_4>32N_1}(\frac{N_1}{N_4})^{1/3}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_4}u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}).$$

Proof: Once again it suffices to estimate

$$\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)|P_{\xi(\tau),\leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}} u|^2(\tau) d\tau \|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{7.2}$$

$$+ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)|P_{\xi(\tau),\frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta^{1/2}}\leq \cdot \leq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}} u|^2(\tau) d\tau \|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \tag{7.3}$$

$$+ \| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)|P_{\xi(\tau),\geq \frac{N(J_l(\tau))}{\delta}} u|^2(\tau) d\tau \|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{7.4}$$

(7.2) and (7.3) can be estimated using a method identical to the method used in the previous section to estimate (6.4) and (6.5) respectively. Therefore, we will consider (7.4) only.

Recall that in the last section we proved

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)(P_{\xi(\tau),\leq 1}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\leq 1} u)(\tau) d\tau \|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\qquad \lesssim \epsilon (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{17/20} \| P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u \|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

We also proved

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i} (\sum_{G_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq \emptyset} \| u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1}(t) \|_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_2}\times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 + \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq \emptyset} \| u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1}(t) \|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_{l'}^{N_2}\times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2)^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{17/20} \| P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u \|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} (\epsilon + \| u \|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

For $t \in G_l^{N_2} = [a_l, b_l]$, define

$$u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,}(t) = \int_{a_l}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\leq N_2} u)(\tau) d\tau. \tag{7.7}$$

Similarly,

$$u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1}(t) = \int_{a_{l'}}^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\leq N_2} u)(\tau) d\tau. \tag{7.8}$$

We make the same adjustment to $u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1}$ when $G_l^{N_2}$ is not contained in $G_\alpha^{N_i}$ that we did in the previous section. This adjustment is also made to $u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2}}$. Since (7.2) and (7.3) take care of the red intervals, it only remains to compute

$$\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}\| \sum_{G_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset} e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1}(b_l) + \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset} e^{i(t-b_{l'})\Delta}u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1}(b_{l'})\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{7.9}$$

Let

$$u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(t) = \int_{a_l}^{t} e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u)(\tau)d\tau. \tag{7.10}$$

$$u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1}(t) = \sum_{N_3=1/2}^{N_2} u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(t). \tag{7.11}$$

$u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}$ is defined in a similar manner. Exploiting the l^2 summation in $\tilde{X}_M([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)$,

Lemma 7.2

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}\ \sum_{2^{-10}N_2\leq N_3\leq N_2} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_{l'})\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ \lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}).\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

This satisfies theorem 7.1.

Proof: First take $Y_{l'}^{N_2} = [a_{l'}, b_{l'}]$.

$$\langle F, \int_{a_{l'}}^{b_{l'}} e^{i(b_{l'}-t)\Delta}(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)dt\rangle$$

$$\lesssim \|(e^{i(t-b_{l'})\Delta}F)(P_{\xi(t),N_2}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(Y_{l'}^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(Y_{l'}^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/2}\|P_{\xi(Y_{l'}^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_{l'}^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(Y_{l'}^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1})^{1/2}(\frac{N_3}{N_1})^{1/2}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(Y_{l'}^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_{l'}^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\times(\sum_{Y_\beta^{N_3}\cap Y_{l'}^{N_2}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(Y_{\beta'}^{N_3}),\frac{N_3}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_3}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_{\beta'}^{N_3}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 + \sum_{G_\beta^{N_3}\cap Y_{l'}^{N_2}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(G_\beta^{N_3}),\frac{N_3}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_3}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\beta^{N_3}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2$$

$$+ \sum_{R_{\beta''}^{N_3} \subset Y_{l'}^{N_2}} \|u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(R_{\beta''}^{N_3} \times \mathbf{R}^2)})^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1}) \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_2}} (1 + \epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_2}}), \tag{7.13}$$

by lemma 4.1.

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} \sum_{2^{-10} N_2 \leq N_3 \leq N_2} \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2}, N_1, N_3}(b_{l'})\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}} \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} \sum_{2^{-10} N_2 \leq N_3 \leq N_2} (\frac{N_2}{N_1}) \sharp\{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\}.$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1}) \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

(4.15) was used in the last inequality.

Similarly, for $G_l^{N_2} = [a_l, b_l]$,

$$\|(e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta} F)(P_{\xi(t), N_2} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_l^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t), N_3} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_l^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1})^{1/2} \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_l^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t), N_3} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_l^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$\sum_{G_l^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1, N_3}(b_l)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim ((\frac{N_2}{N_1}) \sum_{G_l^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_l^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)})^{1/2} \|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t), N_3} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Using lemma 4.1 and bilinear Strichartz estimates,

$$\|(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t), N_3} u)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim ((\frac{N_3}{N_1}) \sum_{G_l^{N_3} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_3}), \frac{N_3}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_3} u\|^2_{U^2_\Delta(G_l^{N_3} \times \mathbf{R}^2)})^{1/2} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$+(\sharp\{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset\})^{1/2}(\frac{N_3}{N_1})^{1/2}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$+\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}((\frac{N_3}{N_1})\sum_{R_{l''}^{N_2}\subset G_\alpha^{N_i}}\|u\|^2_{U_\Delta^2(R_{l''}^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)})^{1/2}.$$

By (4.15),

$$\|P_{N_1}u\|^2_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\sum_{1/2\leq N_3\leq 2^{-15}N_i}((\frac{N_3}{N_1})\sum_{R_{l''}^{N_3}\subset G_\alpha^{N_i}}\|u\|^2_{U_\Delta^2(R_{l''}^{N_3}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}) \lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|^2_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Also by (4.15),

$$\sum_{1/2\leq N_3\leq 2^{-15}N_i}(\sharp\{Y_{l'}^{N_3}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset\})(\frac{N_3}{N_1})\|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|^2_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|^2_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Finally,

$$\sum(\frac{N_3}{N_1})\sum_{G_l^{N_3}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_3}),\frac{N_3}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_3}u\|^2_{U_\Delta^2(G_l^{N_3}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|u\|^2_{X(G_\alpha^{N_i})}.$$

Since we are summing over $N_2 \sim N_3$, Cauchy-Schwartz implies

$$\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}\sum_{2^{-10}N_2\leq N_3\leq N_2}\sum_{G_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset}\|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Once again, because the $\tilde{X}_M([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)$ seminorm only controls l^2 summation over $1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15}N_i$, we will integrate by parts in time. This will be far more complicated than in the previous section because the phase function for (7.1) is

$$|\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2 - |\xi|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_3|^2, \tag{7.14}$$

which could be zero or very close to zero. Therefore, we will partition the phase space $(\xi,\eta_2,\eta_3) \in \mathbf{R}^2\times\mathbf{R}^2\times\mathbf{R}^2$ into resonant pieces, where (7.14) is small, and non-resonant pieces, where (7.14) is large. It is for this reason that (7.1) is called a mixed term. We will combine this integration by parts estimate with the estimates obtained in the previous section,

$$\|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1})\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{7.15}$$

$$\|u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1,N_3}(b_{l'})\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1})\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{7.16}$$

and for $G_l^{N_2} = [a_l, b_l]$,

$$\int_{a_l}^{b_l} \langle e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}F, (P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)\rangle dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \|(e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}F)(P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\le\cdot\le 4N_2}u)\|_{L_t^2L_x^{3/2-}}^{2/3}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{L_t^{5/2}L_x^{10}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}F\|_{L_t^{5/2}L_x^{10}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/3} \\
&\times\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\le\cdot\le 4N_2}u\|_{L_t^{5/2}L_x^{10}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/3}\|P_{\xi(t),N_3}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{18/7-}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \\
&\lesssim (\frac{N_3}{N_1})^{2/9-}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_2}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}, \qquad (7.17)
\end{aligned}$$

along with a similar estimate estimate for $Y_{l'}^{N_2}$. This will give an improved estimate of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sum_{G_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset} 1_{[b_l,\infty)}e^{i(t-b_l)\Delta}u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2},N_1,\le 2^{-10}N_2}(b_l) \\
&+\sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset} 1_{[b_{l'},\infty)}e^{i(t-b_{l'})\Delta}u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2},N_1,\le 2^{-10}N_2}(b_{l'})\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

Let $1_{G,Y}(t)$ be the characteristic function of green and yellow intervals at level N_2.

$$1_{G,Y}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in \text{some } G_\beta^{N_2} \text{ or } Y_{\beta'}^{N_2}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.19}$$

Theorem 7.3 *For any $a, b \in G_\alpha^{N_i}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta}1_{G,Y}(\tau)(P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\le 2^{-10}N_2}u)(\tau)d\tau\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\
&\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/6-}(\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/60-}\|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

The proof of this theorem is rather lengthy, so it will be postponed. First, we will prove how theorem 7.3 completes the proof of theorem 7.1.

Let N be the dyadic integer closest to $= N_i^{79/80} N_2^{1/80}$. We make a double-layered decomposition. Take $G_\beta^N = [a_\beta, b_\beta]$ to be a green interval at level N, $Y_{\beta'} = [a_{\beta'}, b_{\beta'}]$ a yellow interval at level N. We adjust G_β^N and $Y_{\beta'}^N$ so that $G_l^{N_2} \subset G_\beta^N$ or $G_l^{N_2} \cap G_\beta^N$ is a finite set, and the same also holds for $Y_{l'}^{N_2}$ and G_β^N, as well as for $G_l^{N_2}$, $Y_{l'}^{N_2}$ and $Y_{\beta'}^N$.

$$\begin{aligned}(7.18) \lesssim \sum_{G_\beta^N \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_\beta^N, N_1}(b_\beta)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^N \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_\beta^N, N_1}(b_{\beta'})\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ +(\sum_{G_\beta^N \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} (\sum_{G_l^{N_2} \subset G_\beta^N} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \subset G_\beta^N} \|u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2}, N_1}(b_{l'})\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)})^2)^{1/2} \\ +(\sum_{Y\beta'^N \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} (\sum_{G_l^{N_2} \subset Y_{\beta'}^N} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \subset Y_{\beta'}^N} \|u_{nl}^{Y_{l'}^{N_2}, N_1}(b_{l'})\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)})^2)^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

By theorem 7.3,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} \sum_{G_\beta^N \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_\beta^N, N_1}(b_\beta)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^N \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_\beta^N, N_1}(b_{\beta'})\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/6-} (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/240} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}) \\ &\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/6-} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} (\epsilon + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}).\end{aligned}$$

Next, interpolating (7.15), (7.16), and (7.17),

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{G_l^{N_2} \cap G_\beta^N \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1, \leq N_2}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \cap G_\beta^N \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1, \leq N_2}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{999/1000} (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{999/1000} (\frac{N_i}{N_2})^{79/80} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} (\sum_{G_\beta^N} (\sum_{G_l^{N_2} \cap G_\beta^N \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1, \leq N_2}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \cap G_\beta^N \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1, \leq N_2}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)})^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{79/80} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

Also,

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} (\sum_{Y_{\beta'}^N} (\sum_{G_l^{N_2} \cap Y_{\beta'}^N \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1, \leq N_2}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \sum_{Y_{l'}^{N_2} \cap Y_{\beta'}^N \neq \emptyset} \|u_{nl}^{G_l^{N_2}, N_1, \leq N_2}(b_l)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)})^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{79/80} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

This proves theorem 7.1 $\Box$.

Proof of theorem 7.3: To prove theorem 7.3 we will partition the frequency space $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^6$. In order to simplify notation, we will suppose $\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}) = 0$. This could be accomplished with a Galilean transform. The resonant set will be

$$\{(\xi, \eta_2, \eta_3) \in \mathbf{R}^6 : ||\xi + \eta_2 + \eta_3|^2 - |\xi|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_3|^2| \lesssim N_1^{1/5} N_2^{9/5}\}, \tag{7.23}$$

and the nonresonant set

$$\{(\xi, \eta_2, \eta_3) \in \mathbf{R}^6 : ||\xi + \eta_2 + \eta_3|^2 - |\xi|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_3|^2| \gtrsim N_1^{1/5} N_2^{9/5}\}. \tag{7.24}$$

Choose $\sim \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_2^{4/5}}$ equally spaced vectors $\varphi_j \in S^1$. Let P_j be a smooth Fourier multiplier supported on the set

$$R_j = \{\xi \sim N_1, |\angle(\xi, \varphi_j)| \lesssim \frac{N_2^{4/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}, \} \tag{7.25}$$

such that

$$\sum P_j \equiv 1$$

on $2^{-10} N_1 \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{10} N_1$. Then

$$F = \sum P_j F, \tag{7.26}$$

and

$$(P_{N_1}u) = \sum P_{N_1} P_l u. \tag{7.27}$$

We will define the distance

$$|j - l| = |\varphi_j - \varphi_l| \cdot \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_2^{4/5}}.$$

R_j is approximately a $\frac{N_2^{4/5}}{N_i^{4/5}} N_1 \times N_1$ rectangle. Because $N_i \lesssim N_1$,

$$\langle F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta}(P_{N_1}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}u)dt\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq j \lesssim \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_2^{4/5}}, |j-l| \leq 2^{10}} \int_a^b \langle e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F, (P_{N_1}P_l u)(P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}u)\rangle dt. \tag{7.28}$$

Also, let $\tilde{P}_j$ be a smooth Fourier multiplier supported on the set

$$\tilde{R}_j = \{\xi \sim N_2, |\angle(\xi, \varphi_j)| \lesssim \frac{N_2^{4/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}, \} \tag{7.29}$$

such that

$$\sum \tilde{P}_j \equiv 1$$

on $2^{-10}N_2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{10}N_2$.

If $|\varphi_l \cdot \varphi_m| \geq 2^{10}\frac{N_2^{4/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}$ then $|\xi \cdot (\eta_2 + \eta_3)| \geq 2^9 N_1 N_2 (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{4/5}$ for $(\eta_2 + \eta_3) \in \tilde{R}_m$, $\xi \in R_l$. This in turn implies

$$||\xi + \eta_2 + \eta_3|^2 - |\xi|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_3|^2| \gtrsim N_1 N_2 (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{4/5}. \tag{7.30}$$

Therefore, a pair will be called resonant, $(l, m) \in \mathcal{R}$ if $|\varphi_j \cdot \varphi_l| \leq 2^{10}\frac{N_2^{4/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}$, and $(l, m) \in \mathcal{N}$ otherwise. We will also let $l \in \mathcal{R}_{\cdot,m}$ and $m \in \mathcal{R}_{l,\cdot}$ denote (l, m) is resonant. $l \in \mathcal{N}_{\cdot,m}$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}_{l,\cdot}$ are defined in a similar manner.

First we want to deal with the resonant case. For each l, the number of $m \in \mathcal{R}_{l,\cdot}$ is $\leq 2^{15}$. Consider a single pair $(l, m) \in \mathcal{R}$. Without loss of generality let $\varphi_l = (1, 0)$. Let P_r be a smooth Fourier multiplier,

$$P_{r,l} = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi \cdot \varphi_l| \leq C\frac{N_2^{9/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}; \\ 0, & |\xi \cdot \varphi_l| \geq 2C\frac{N_2^{9/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}. \end{cases} \tag{7.31}$$

C is some fixed constant such that $P_{r,l} \equiv 1$ when $\xi \in R_l$ and (ξ, η_2, η_3) lie in the resonant set. On the resonant set,

$$|\xi \cdot ((\eta_2 + \xi(t)) + (\eta_3 - \xi(t)))| \lesssim N_2 N_1 (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{4/5}.$$

Lemma 7.4 *If $N_3 \leq 2^{-10} N_2$, $\hat{u}$ is supported on $|\xi| \sim N_2$, $\hat{v}$ is supported on $|\xi| \sim N_3$, $N_3 << N_2$,*

$$\|P_{r_l}((e^{-it\Delta}\bar{u})(e^{it\Delta}v))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{N_2^{2/5}}{N_i^{2/5}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{7.32}$$

Proof: If $\varphi_{r_l} = (1,0)$ then P_{r_l} is supported on $|(\xi_2 + \xi_3)_x| \lesssim |\xi_x| \leq C\frac{N_2^{9/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}$. Because $|\xi_2 + \xi_3| \sim N_2$, this implies $|(\xi_2 + \xi_3)_y| \sim N_2$.

Take $\|G(t,x)\|_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$.

$$\int e^{-it\tau} P_{r_l}((e^{it|\xi_2|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}(\xi_2))(e^{-it|\xi_3|^2}\hat{v}(\xi_3)))dt$$

$$= \delta(\tau - \xi_{2x}^2 - \xi_{2y}^2 + \xi_{3x}^2 + \xi_{3y}^2) P_{r_l}(\hat{\bar{u}}(\xi_2)\hat{v}(\xi_3)).$$

$$\int \tilde{G}(\tau,\xi) \int_{\xi=\xi_2+\xi_3} \delta(\tau - \xi_{2x}^2 - \xi_{2y}^2 + \xi_{3x}^2 + \xi_{3y}^2) P_{r_l}(\hat{\bar{u}}(\xi_2)\hat{v}(\xi_3)) d\tau d\xi_2 d\xi_3$$

$$= \int\int \tilde{G}(\xi_{2x}^2 + \xi_{2y}^2 - \xi_{3x}^2 - \xi_{3y}^2, \xi_{2x} + \xi_{3x}, \xi_{2y} + \xi_{3y}) \hat{\bar{u}}(\xi_{2x}, \xi_{2y}) \hat{v}(\xi_{3x}, \xi_{3y}) d\xi_{2x} d\xi_{2y} d\xi_{3x} d\xi_{3y}. \tag{7.33}$$

$$\int \tilde{G}(\xi_{2x}^2 - \xi_{3x}^2 + (\xi_{2y} - \xi_{3y})(\xi_{2y} + \xi_{3y}), \xi_{2x} + \xi_{3x}, \xi_{2y} + \xi_{3y}) \hat{\bar{u}}(\xi_{2x}, \xi_{2y}) \hat{v}(\xi_{3x}, \xi_{3y}) d\xi_{2y} d\xi_{3y}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N_2^{1/2}} \|\tilde{G}(\cdot, \xi_{2x} + \xi_{3x}, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi_y}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R})} \|\hat{\bar{u}}(\xi_{2x}, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})} \|\hat{v}(\xi_{3x}, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}.$$

The last inequality is because $|\xi_{2y} + \xi_{3y}| \sim N_2$. By Holder's inequality,

$$\frac{1}{N_2^{1/2}} \int_{|\xi_x| \lesssim \frac{N_2^{9/5}}{N_i^{4/5}}} \|\tilde{G}(\cdot, \xi_{2x}+\xi_{3x}, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi_y}} \int_{\xi_{2x}+\xi_{3x}=\xi_x} \|\hat{u}(\xi_{2x},\cdot)\|_{L^2} \|\hat{v}(\xi_{3x},\cdot)\|_{L^2} d\xi_{2x} d\xi_x$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^{2/5}}{N_i^{2/5}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We will use this lemma to estimate

$$\int_a^b \langle e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F, (P_{N_1} P_l u) P_{r_l}((P_{\xi(t),N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3} u))\rangle dt. \tag{7.34}$$

$$(7.34) = \int_a^b \langle P_{\sim N_2} P_{r_l}((e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F)(P_{N_1} P_l \bar{u})), P_{r_l}((P_{\xi(t),N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3} u))\rangle dt$$

$$\lesssim \|P_{\sim N_2} P_{r_l}((e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F)(P_{N_1} P_l \bar{u}))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{r_l}((P_{\xi(t),N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3} u))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Let $v(t)$ be a $U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)$ atom,

$$v(t) = \sum_{\{t_k\}\nearrow} 1_{[t_k, t_{k+1})} e^{i(t-t_k)\Delta} v_k. \tag{7.35}$$

$$\|P_{r_l}((P_l v(t))(e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$= (\sum_{\{t_k\}\nearrow} \|P_{r_l}((P_l v(t))(e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F))\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}([t_k,t_{k+1}) \times \mathbf{R}^2)})^{1/2}$$

$$= (\sum_{\{t_k\}\nearrow} \|P_{r_l}((e^{i(t-t_k)\Delta} P_l v_k)(e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F))\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}([t_k,t_{k+1}) \times \mathbf{R}^2)})^{1/2},$$

which by lemma 7.4,

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^{2/5}}{N_i^{2/5}} \|P_j F\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} (\sum_k \|P_l v_k\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)})^{1/2}.$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\sum_{|j-l|\leq 2^{10}} \|P_{r_l}((P_l u(t))(e^{i(t-b)\Delta} P_j F))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{N_2^{2/5}}{N_i^{2/5}} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}. \tag{7.36}$$

Next take an interval $G_\beta^{N_2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{r_l}((P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\beta^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} &\lesssim \frac{N_2^{2/5}}{N_i^{2/5}} \|P_{\xi(G_\beta^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\beta^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{\xi(t),N_3} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\beta^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_2^{2/5}}{N_i^{2/5}} (\frac{N_2}{N_3})^{1/2} \|u\|_{X(G_\beta^{N_i})}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.37}$$

We have the same estimate for a yellow interval $Y_{\beta'}^{N_2}$.

There are $\lesssim \frac{N_i}{N_2}$ green intervals at level N_2 that overlap $G_\alpha^{N_i}$ and $\lesssim \frac{N_i}{N_2}$ yellow intervals at level N_2 that overlap $G_\alpha^{N_i}$. Therefore,

$$\|1_{G,Y}(t) P_{r_l}((P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{N_2^{2/5}}{N_i^{2/5}} (\frac{N_i}{N_3})^{1/2} \|u\|_{X(G_\beta^{N_i})} \tag{7.38}$$

This estimate is well adapted to the resonant set when N_3 is fairly large. We also need an estimate adapted to the case when N_3 is very small.

Lemma 7.5 *Suppose $N_3 \leq N_2$. For $a, b \in G_\alpha^{N_i}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} 1_{G,Y}(t) (P_{N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)(t) dt \|_{L^2_x(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_3^{1/3-}}{N_i^{1/3-}} \frac{N_i^{1/2}}{N_2^{1/2}} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U^2_\Delta(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} (\epsilon + \|u\|_{X(G_\alpha^{N_i})}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$

Proof: Take F supported on $|\xi| \sim N_i$, $\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} = 1$,

$$\langle F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} (P_{N_1} u)(P_{\xi(\tau),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),N_3}u)(t) dt \rangle,$$

$$\lesssim \|1_{G,Y}(t)(e^{i(t-b_m)\Delta} F)(P_{\xi(t),N_2} u)\|_{L^2_t L^{3/2+}_x (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|(P_{N_1} u)\|_{L^{2+}_t L^{\infty-}_x (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{\xi(t),N_3} u\|_{L^{\infty-}_t L^3_x (G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)},$$

by lemma 4.1,

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_3})^{+} N_3^{1/3-} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|1_{G,Y}(t)(e^{i(t-b_m)\Delta} F)(P_{\xi(t),N_2} u)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{3/2+}(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)},$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_3})^{1/3-} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\times (\sum_{G_\beta^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_\beta^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\beta^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 + \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(Y_{\beta'}^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_{\beta'}^{N_2} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2)^{1/2}.$$

Also by lemma 4.1,

$$\sum_{G_\beta^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(G_\beta^{N_2})), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\beta \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 + \sum_{Y_{\beta'}^{N_2} \cap G_\alpha^{N_i} \neq \emptyset} \|P_{\xi(Y_{\beta'}^{N_2}), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(Y_{\beta'} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_2}) \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}^2. \tag{7.40}$$

This completes the proof of lemma 7.5. □

Combining (7.36), (7.38), and (7.39),

$$\|\sum_l \int_a^b e^{i(b-\tau)\Delta} (P_{N_1} P_l u) P_{r_l}((P_{\xi(\tau),N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(\tau),\leq N_2} u))(\tau) d\tau\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{(\frac{N_1}{N_i})^{1/2} (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{11/20} N_2 \leq N_3 \leq N_2} \frac{N_i^{1/2}}{N_3^{1/2}} (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{4/5} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

$$+ \sum_{1/2 \leq N_3 \leq (\frac{N_1}{N_i})^{1/2} (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{11/20} N_2} \frac{N_3^{1/3-}}{N_1^{1/3-}} (\frac{N_i}{N_2})^{1/2} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/6-} (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/60-} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\sum_{1 \leq l \lesssim \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_2^{4/5}}} \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} (P_{N_1} P_l u) P_{r_l}(P_{\xi(t),N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3} u)(t) dt\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{1/6-} (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/60-} \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.41}$$

Turning now to the nonresonant case, since $q(\xi, \eta) \gtrsim N_1^{1/5} N_2^{9/5}$, we integrate by parts in time. To do this more effectively, we smooth out $1_{G,Y}(t)$.

Define a function $\chi(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ on $G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$.

If $t \in R_{\beta}^{N_2} \subset G_{\alpha}^{N_i}$, $\chi(t) = 0$. If $t \in J_l$, where J_l is a small interval that is a subset of some $G_{\beta}^{N_2}$ or $Y_{\beta'}^{N_2}$, and J_l is not adjacent to a red interval at level N_2, $\chi(t) = 1$. Making bilinear estimates,

$$\langle F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta}(1_{G,Y}(t) - \chi(t))(P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t), N_2} u)(P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u)(t) dt\rangle$$

$$\lesssim \sharp\{R_{\beta}^{N_2} \subset G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\} \|(e^{i(t-b)\Delta} F)(P_{\xi(J_l), \frac{N_2}{4} \leq \cdot \leq 4N_2} u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|(P_{N_1} u)(P_{\xi(J_l), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \epsilon \sharp\{R_{\beta}^{N_2} \subset G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\}(\frac{N_2}{N_1}) \|P_{\xi(G_{\alpha}^{N_i}), N_1} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

By lemma 4.1,

$$\sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq 2^{-15} N_i} \epsilon \sharp\{R_{\beta}^{N_2} \subset G_{\alpha}^{N_i}\}(\frac{N_2}{N_1}) \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon(\frac{N_i}{N_1}) \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J_l \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

To evaluate the main term

$$\langle F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} \chi(t)(P_{N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t), N_2} u)(P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u)(t) dt\rangle,$$

we will integrate by parts in time. Take the Fourier transform,

$$\sum_{|j-l| \leq 2^{10}} \sum_{(l,m) \in \mathcal{N}} \langle P_j F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} P_l(P_{N_1} u) P_m((P_{\xi(t), N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u))(t) dt\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{|j-l| \leq 2^{10}} \sum_{(l,m) \in \mathcal{N}} \int\int\int\int_a^b \hat{\bar{F}}(-\xi - \eta_2 - \eta_3) e^{i(t - b_n)|\xi + \eta_2 + \eta_3|^2}$$

$$\times P_l(P_{N_1} \hat{u})(t, \xi) P_m((P_{\xi(t), N_2} \hat{\bar{u}})(t, \eta_2)(P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} \hat{u})(t, \eta_3)) dt d\eta_2 d\eta_3 d\xi.$$

Integrating by parts in time,

$$\int_a^b e^{it|\xi + \eta_2 + \eta_3|^2} P_l(P_{N_1} \hat{u})(t, \xi) P_m((P_{\xi(t), N_2} \hat{\bar{u}})(t, \eta_2)(P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} \hat{u})(t, \eta_3)) dt$$

$$= \frac{e^{it|\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2}}{iq(\xi,\eta)} P_l(P_{N_1}\hat{u})(t,\xi) P_m((P_{\xi(t),N_2}\hat{\bar{u}})(t,\eta_2)(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}\hat{u})(t,\eta_3))|_a^b \tag{7.42}$$

$$- \int_a^b \frac{e^{itq(\xi,\eta)}}{iq(\xi,\eta)} \frac{d}{dt}[e^{it|\xi|^2} P_l(P_{N_1}\hat{u})(t,\xi) e^{-it|\eta_2|^2} e^{it|\eta_3|^2} P_m((P_{\xi(t),N_2}\hat{\bar{u}})(t,\eta_2)(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}\hat{u})(t,\eta_3))]dt. \tag{7.43}$$

By Holder's inequality and Sobolev embedding,

$$\sum_{|j-l|\leq 2^{10}} \sum_{(l,m)\in\mathcal{N}} \int\int\int \frac{1}{q(\xi,\eta)} \hat{\bar{F}}(-\xi-\eta_2-\eta_3) e^{i(t-b)|\xi+\eta_2+\eta_3|^2}$$
$$\times P_l(P_{N_1}\hat{u})(t,\xi) P_m((P_{\xi(t),N_2}\hat{\bar{u}})(t,\eta_2)(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}\hat{u})(t,\eta_3))|_a^b d\eta_2 d\eta_3 d\xi$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_2^{1/5}}{N_1^{1/5}} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{4/5} \epsilon \|P_{N_1} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{N_2^{1/5}}{N_1^{1/5}} (\frac{N_i}{N_1})^{4/5} \epsilon \|P_{\xi(G_\alpha^{N_i}),N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

This takes care of term (7.42). Now apply the product rule to (7.43).

$$\frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_1 N_2^{9/5}} \sum_{|j-l|\leq 10} \sum_{(l,m)\in\mathcal{N}} \tag{7.44}$$

$$\langle P_j F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} P_l(P_{N_1}(O((P_{>32N_1}u)(P_{>N_1}u)u)) P_m((P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}u))(t)\rangle dt \tag{7.45}$$

$$= \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_1 N_2^{9/5}} \sum_m \sum_{l\in\mathcal{N}_{(\cdot,m)}} \tag{7.46}$$

$$\int_a^b \langle e^{i(t-b)\Delta} F, P_l(P_{N_1}(O((P_{>32N_1}u)(P_{>N_1}u)u)) P_m((P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}u))(t)\rangle dt \tag{7.47}$$

$$\lesssim \epsilon N_2^2 \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_1 N_2^{9/5}} \sum_{32N_1\leq N_4} \|(e^{i(t-b)\Delta}F)(P_{N_4}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{2/3} \|P_{>N_1}u\|_{L_t^{5/2}L_x^{10}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$
$$\times \|P_{N_4}\|_{L_t^{5/2}L_x^{10}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/3} \|e^{i(t-b_n)\Delta}F\|_{L_t^{5/2}L_x^{10}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/3}$$

$$\lesssim \epsilon \frac{N_i^{4/5} N_2^{1/5}}{N_1} \Big(\sum_{32 N_1 \leq N_4} (\frac{N_1}{N_4})^{1/3} \| P_{N_4} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \Big).$$

Next,

$$\frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_1 N_2^{9/5}} \sum_{|j - l| \leq 2^{10}} \sum_{(l,m) \in \mathcal{N}} \tag{7.48}$$

$$\langle P_j F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} P_l (P_{N_1} (O((P_{\frac{N_1}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u)(P_{\xi(t), N_2 \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u) u)) P_m ((P_{\xi(t), N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u))(t) \rangle dt \tag{7.49}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_1 N_2^{9/5}} \| e^{i(t-b)\Delta} F \|_{L_t^{9/4} L_x^{18}} \| P_{\frac{N_1}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u \|_{L_t^{9/4} L_x^{18}} \| P_{\xi(t), N_2 \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u \|_{L_t^9 L_x^{18/7}}$$
$$\times \| u \|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \| P_{\xi(t), N_2} u \|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \| P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u \|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_i^{4/5} N_2^{1/5}}{N_1} \epsilon \| P_{\frac{N_1}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Finally,

$$\frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_1 N_2^{9/5}} \sum_{|j - l| \leq 2^{10}} \sum_{(l,m) \in \mathcal{N}} \tag{7.50}$$

$$\langle P_j F, \int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta} P_l (P_{N_1} (O((P_{\frac{N_1}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u)(P_{\leq N_2} u)^2) P_m ((P_{N_2} \bar{u})(P_{N_3} u))(t) \rangle dt \tag{7.51}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{N_i^{4/5}}{N_1 N_2^{9/5}} \| e^{i(t-b)\Delta} F \|_{L_t^{9/4} L_x^{18}} \| P_{\frac{N_1}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u \|_{L_t^{9/4} L_x^{18}} \| P_{\xi(t), \leq N_2} u \|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^{18/7}}$$
$$\times \| u \|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \| P_{\xi(t), N_2} u \|_{L_t^9 L_x^{18/7}} \| P_{\xi(t), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u \|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2}$$

$$\lesssim \epsilon \frac{N_i^{4/5} N_2^{1/5}}{N_1} \| P_{\frac{N_1}{32} \leq \cdot \leq 32 N_1} u \|_{U_{\Delta}^2 (G_{\alpha}^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

This takes care of the $\frac{d}{dt}(e^{it|\xi|^2} P_l P_{N_1} \hat{u}(t, \xi))$ term.

Next, take

$$P_{\xi(t),N_2}(\frac{d}{dt}(e^{-it|\eta_2|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}(t,\eta_2))).$$

Without loss of generality suppose $\varphi_l = (1,0)$. The $(\eta_2 + \eta_3)$ such that $q(\xi,\eta) \sim 2^{-k}N_1N_2$ lie in approximately a $2^{-k}N_2 \times N_2$ rectangle. We will call $P_{k,l}$ the projection to this rectangle. (We are only worried about $2^{-k} \geq (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{4/5}$).

$$\frac{1}{2^{-k}N_2N_1}\langle P_jF, \tag{7.52}$$

$$\int_a^b e^{i(b-t)\Delta}P_l(P_{N_1}u)P_{k,l}((P_{\xi(t),N_2}(|P_{\xi(t),>N_2^{39/40}N_i^{1/40}}u|^2(P_{\xi(t),>N_2^{9/10}N_i^{1/10}}\bar{u})))(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}u))(t)dt\rangle \tag{7.53}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{2^{-k}N_2^2}{2^{-k}N_2N_1}\|P_jF\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_lP_{N_1}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(t),>N_2^{9/10}N_i^{1/10}}u\|_{L_t^3L_x^6(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/10}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_jF\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_lP_{N_1}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

$$\sum_{\frac{N_2}{N_1}\leq 2^{-k}\leq 1}\sum_{|j-l|\leq 2^{10}}(\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/20}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_jF\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_lP_{N_1}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/20}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

On the other hand, by the Sobolev embedding,

$$\frac{1}{2^{-k}N_2N_1}\|e^{i(t-b)\Delta}F_j\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{N_1}(P_lu)\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$
$$\times\|P_{\xi(t),N_2}(P_{\xi(t),\leq N_2^{9/10}N_i^{1/10}}u(u^2))\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^{1+}}\|P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{2^{-k}N_2N_1}\|e^{i(t-b)\Delta}F_j\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{N_1}(P_lu)\|_{L_t^{2+}L_x^{\infty-}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$
$$\times\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|P_{\xi(t),\leq N_2^{9/10}N_i^{1/10}}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-}L_x^\infty}\|P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{2^{-k}}(\frac{N_i}{N_2})^+\frac{N_2^{9/10}N_i^{1/10}}{N_1}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}).$$

On the non-resonant set,

$$\sum_{(\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{4/5}\leq 2^{-k}\leq 1}\frac{1}{2^{-k}}(\frac{N_i}{N_2})^{+}\frac{N_2^{9/10}N_i^{1/10}}{N_1}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}})$$

$$\lesssim(\frac{N_i}{N_1})(\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/20}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}(\epsilon+\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}).$$

We can make a similar calculation for

$$(P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}\frac{d}{dt}e^{it|\eta_3|^2}\hat{u}(t,\eta_3)).$$

Because $\xi(t)$ is free to travel around, we also have to consider when the time derivative hits the Fourier multipliers $P_{\xi(t),N_2}$ or $P_{\xi(t),\leq 2^{-10}N_2}$. By the chain rule,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\chi(\frac{\xi-\xi(t)}{N_2})=\frac{\xi'(t)}{N_2}\cdot\nabla\chi(\frac{\xi-\xi(t)}{N_2}).$$

Because $\mathcal{F}(\nabla\chi)\in L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $N(t)\leq\frac{\delta N_2}{2}$ on the support of $\chi(t)$, and (2.71),

$$\|\frac{\xi'(t)}{N_2}\cdot\mathcal{F}(\nabla\chi(\frac{\xi-\xi(t)}{N_2}))\|_{L^1_x(\mathbf{R}^2)}\lesssim N_2^2.$$

Moreover, $\nabla\chi(\frac{\xi-\xi(t)}{N_2})$ is supported on $|\xi-\xi(t)|\sim N_2$. For $G_l^{N_2}=[a_l,b_l]$,

$$\frac{N_2^{1/5}}{N_1^{1/5}}\langle F,\int_{a_l}^{b_l}e^{i(b_l-t)\Delta}(P_{N_1}u)(P_{\xi(t),N_2}\bar{u})(P_{\xi(t),N_3}u)(t)dt\rangle$$

$$\lesssim(\frac{N_2}{N_1})^{11/10}(\frac{N_3}{N_1})^{1/30}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{1/2\leq N_3\leq N_2}(\frac{N_2}{N_1})^{11/10}(\frac{N_3}{N_1})^{1/30}\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

$$\lesssim(\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{11/10}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{\xi(G_l^{N_2}),\frac{N_2}{4}\leq\cdot\leq 4N_2}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_l^{N_2}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

$$\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}\sum_{G_l^{N_2}\cap G_\alpha^{N_i}\neq\emptyset}(\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{11/10}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

$$\lesssim\sum_{1\leq N_2\leq 2^{-15}N_i}(\frac{N_2}{N_i})^{1/10}(\frac{N_i}{N_1})\|P_{N_1}u\|_{U^2_{\Delta}(G_\alpha^{N_i}\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_1}) \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{N_i}}.$$

We can make a similar argument for $\frac{d}{dt}\phi(\frac{\xi - \xi(t)}{2^{-10} N_2})$.

Finally, we have to compute $\frac{d}{dt}\chi(t)$. By (2.64), $N(t) \leq \frac{\delta N_2}{2}$, and the definition of $\chi(t)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\chi(t) \lesssim N_2^2$$

on $\sharp\{R_\alpha^{N_2} \subset G_\alpha^{N_i}\}$ small intervals J_l and is $\equiv 0$ elsewhere. Making a crude bilinear estimate,

$$\frac{N_2^{1/5}}{N_1^{1/5}} \langle F, \int_{a_l}^{b_l} e^{i(b_l - t)\Delta} (P_{N_1} u)(P_{\xi(l), N_2} \bar{u})(P_{\xi(l), \leq 2^{-10} N_2} u) dt \rangle$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{N_2}{N_1})^{6/5} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{U_\Delta^2(G_\alpha^{N_i} \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \epsilon.$$

Because there are $\lesssim (\frac{N_i}{N_2})$ red intervals at level N_2, the proof of theorem 7.3 is complete. $\Box$

This in turn completes the proof of lemma 4.5 and lemma 5.3.

8 Frequency localized Morawetz estimates

In this final section we exclude scenario (**??**). To do this we modify the proof in [7] of

$$\| |\nabla|^{1/2} |u(t,x)|^2 \|_{L_{t,x}^2([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^1([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|u(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^3, \tag{8.1}$$

to the case when u is truncated in frequency. This will eliminate (**??**) and the proof of theorem 1.6 will be complete. Because for T large,

$$\| |u(t,x)|^2 \|_{L_{t,x}^2([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \sim \int_0^T N(t)^2 dt,$$

we would expect

$$\| |\nabla|^{1/2} |u(t,x)|^2 \|_{L_{t,x}^2([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \sim \int_0^T N(t)^3 dt.$$

Following this line of reasoning, if $\int_0^\infty N(t)^3 dt = \infty$ and $u(t) \in L_t^\infty H_x^1([0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^2)$, (8.1) implies

$$\int_0^T N(t)^3 dt \lesssim 1,$$

with bound independent of T. This gives a contradiction, since

$$\int_{-T}^{T} N(t)^3 dt$$

is arbitrarily large for sufficiently large T, thus excluding $\int_0^\infty N(t)^3 dt = \infty$.

However, for this particular blowup scenario we will not prove any additional regularity of the minimal mass blowup solution. Instead, we will make a frequency localized Morawetz estimate similar to the estimate found in [11]. This estimate will still give a contradiction.

Theorem 8.1 *Suppose $u(t,x)$ is a minimal mass blowup solution to (1.1), $\mu = +1$ on $[0,T]$ with $N(t) \leq 1$,*

$$\int_0^T \int |u(t,x)|^4 dx dt = M\epsilon_0^4 \tag{8.2}$$

for some dyadic integer M and

$$\sum_{J_l \subset [0,T]} N(J_l) = \delta K. \tag{8.3}$$

(By lemma 2.15 this implies $\int_0^T N(t)^3 dt \sim K$.) Make the frequency truncation

$$\widehat{Iu}(t,\xi) = \phi(\frac{\xi}{K})\hat{u}(t,\xi), \tag{8.4}$$

$\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\phi \equiv 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, $\phi \equiv 0$ for $|x| > 2$. Then

$$\| |\nabla|^{1/2} |Iu(t,x)|^2 \|_{L_{t,x}^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} M^I(t) + o(K), \tag{8.5}$$

where $M^I(t)$ is a modification of the Morawetz action in [7] (see (8.11)).

Proof: Suppose $r_0 > 0$. Define a radial function,

$$a(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r^2}{2r_0}(1 + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{r_0}{r}), & r < r_0; \\ r - \frac{r_0}{2}, & r \geq r_0. \end{cases} \tag{8.6}$$

Then $\Delta a \geq 0$, and

$$\| (\frac{3}{4r_0} + \frac{1}{2r_0}\log\frac{r_0}{r}) \|_{L^2(|x| \leq r_0)} \lesssim 1, \tag{8.7}$$

and for $r > r_0$,

$$\Delta a(r) = \frac{1}{r}. \tag{8.8}$$

Using the Morawetz action

$$M(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_j(x-y) Im[\bar{u}(t,x)\bar{u}(t,y)\partial_j(u(t,x)u(t,y))]dxdy, \tag{8.9}$$

and taking $r_0 \to 0$, [6] proved

$$\int_0^T \int ||\nabla|^{1/2}|u(t,x)|^2|^2 dxdt \lesssim \int_0^T \partial_t M(t) \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M(t)|. \tag{8.10}$$

We adopt the convention of summing over repeated indices in $\mathbf{R}^2 \oplus \mathbf{R}^2$. Now take the truncated action

$$M_I(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_j(x-y) Im[\overline{Iu}(t,x)\overline{Iu}(t,y)\partial_j(Iu(t,x)Iu(t,y))]dxdy. \tag{8.11}$$

$$\partial_t(Iu) = i\Delta(Iu) - i|Iu|^2(Iu) + i|Iu|^2(Iu) - iI(|u|^2u). \tag{8.12}$$

If we simply had

$$\partial_t(Iu) = i\Delta(Iu) - i|Iu|^2(Iu),$$

then we could copy the arguments in [6] and prove

$$\int_0^T \int ||\nabla|^{1/2}|Iu(t,x)|^2|^2 dxdt \lesssim \int_0^T \partial_t M(t) \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M_I(t)|. \tag{8.13}$$

Instead,

$$\int_0^T \int ||\nabla|^{1/2}|Iu(t,x)|^2|^2 dxdt \lesssim \int_0^T \partial_t M(t) + E \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M(t)| + E, \tag{8.14}$$

where

$$E = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_j(x-y) Re[(I(|u|^2\bar{u}) - |Iu|^2(\overline{Iu}))(t,x)\overline{Iu}(t,y)\partial_j(Iu(t,x)Iu(t,y))]dxdydt \tag{8.15}$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_j(x-y) Re[\overline{Iu}(t,x)(I(|u|^2\bar{u}) - |Iu|^2(\overline{Iu}))(t,y)\partial_j(Iu(t,x)Iu(t,y))]dxdydt \tag{8.16}$$

$$+\int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_j(x-y) Re[\overline{Iu}(t,x)\overline{Iu}(t,y)\partial_j((|Iu|^2(Iu)(t,x) - I(|u|^2 u)(t,x))(t,x)Iu(t,y))]dxdydt \tag{8.17}$$

$$+\int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_j(x-y) Re[\overline{Iu}(t,x)\overline{Iu}(t,y)\partial_j(Iu(t,x)((|Iu|^2(Iu))(t,y) - I(|u|^2 u)(t,y))]dxdydt. \tag{8.18}$$

We prove $E \lesssim o(K)$. The repeated j's represent an implied sum. Without loss of generality we will consider the sum over $j = 1, 2$. E is Galilean invariant. Since $a_j(x-y)$ is odd in $x-y$,

$$E = \int_0^T \iint a_j(x-y) Re[e^{ix\cdot\xi(t)}\{I(|u|^2\bar{u}) - |Iu|^2(\overline{Iu})\}\partial_j(e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}Iu)](t,x)|Iu(t,y)|^2 dxdydt \tag{8.19}$$

$$+\int_0^T \iint a_j(x-y) Re[e^{ix\cdot\xi(t)}\overline{Iu}\partial_j(e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}(|Iu|^2(Iu) - I(|u|^2 u)))]|Iu(t,y)|^2 dxdydt \tag{8.20}$$

$$-2\int_0^T \iint a_j(x-y) Im[\overline{Iu}\partial_j(e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}Iu)](t,x) Im[I(|u|^2\bar{u})Iu](t,y)dxdydt. \tag{8.21}$$

We will prove (8.19), (8.20), (8.21) $\leq o(K)$, where $\frac{o(K)}{K} \to 0$ as $K \to \infty$. These estimates hold for a very general $a_j(x-y)$.

Theorem 8.2 *Suppose $a_j(t,x)$ is an odd function of x such that for some C,*

$$|a_j(x)| \leq C, \tag{8.22}$$

$$\partial_k a_j(x) = f_{kj}(x) + g_{kj}(x), \tag{8.23}$$

where $|g_{kj}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|}$, $\|f_{kj}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C$, and

$$\|\partial_t a_j(t,x)\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C. \tag{8.24}$$

If u is a minimal mass blowup solution to (1.1), $\mu = \pm 1$, then (8.19), (8.20), and (8.21) $\leq o(K)$.

Remark: For the defocusing case we will use the same $a_j(x-y)$ as used in [7]. See also [25]. This $a_j(x-y)$ is time independent.

Remark: The interaction Morawetz estimates of [6], [25] are not positive definite when $\mu = -1$. This prevents us from obtaining an estimate of the form (8.5) for the focusing case. Theorem 8.2 implies that if we did have an interaction Morawetz estimate for $\mu = -1$ and $a_j(t,x)$ satisfied (8.22), (8.23), (8.24), then its Fourier truncation error would be bounded by $o(K)$.

Let $\lambda = \frac{M}{K}$ and rescale, rescaling I to I_λ, the Fourier multiplier $\phi(\frac{\xi}{M})$.

$$\|(\nabla - i\xi(t))Iu\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} = \frac{K}{M}\|(\nabla - i\lambda\xi(t))I_\lambda u_\lambda\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{K}{M}\sum_{2^k=\frac{1}{2}}^{M} 2^k \|P_{2^k}(e^{-ix\cdot\lambda\xi(t)} I_\lambda u_\lambda)\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{K}{M}\sum_{2^k=\frac{1}{2}}^{M} 2^k \|P_{2^k}(e^{-ix\cdot\lambda\xi(t)} I_\lambda u_\lambda)\|_{L_t^{5/2} L_x^{10}([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{5/6} \|P_{2^k}(e^{-ix\cdot\lambda\xi(t)} I_\lambda u_\lambda)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/6}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{K}{M}\sum_{2^k=\frac{1}{2}}^{M} 2^k (\frac{M}{2^k})^{1/3} o(2^k) \lesssim o(K).$$

In the second to last inequality we used lemma 4.1, theorem 4.2, and (2.43).

Now let $u_l = P_{\leq \frac{K}{32}} u$, $u_l + u_h = u$.

$$I(|u_l|^2 u_l) - |Iu_l|^2 (Iu_l) \equiv 0.$$

Next,

$$I(|u_l|^2 u_h) - |Iu_l|^2 (Iu_h) = I(|u_l|^2 u_h) - |u_l|^2 (Iu_h).$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, if $|\xi_1| \sim N_1$, $|\xi_2| \sim N_2$, $N_2 << N_1$,

$$|m(\xi_2 + \xi_1) - m(\xi_1)| \lesssim N_2 \sup_{|\xi|\sim N_1} |\nabla m(\xi)|. \tag{8.25}$$

In this case, $m(\xi) = \phi(\frac{\xi}{K})$, $N_1 \sim K$, so

$$\|I(|u_l|^2 u_h) - |u_l|^2 (Iu_h)\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|Iu_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \|\nabla |u_l|^2\|_{L_t^3 L_x^{3/2}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

$$\|\nabla |u_l|^2\|_{L_t^3 L_x^{3/2}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} = \|\nabla((e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}u_l)(e^{ix\cdot\xi(t)}\bar{u}_l))\|_{L_t^3 L_x^{3/2}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla(e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}Iu)\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(K).$$

Meanwhile,

$$\|Iu_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 1,$$

so

$$\|I(|u_l|^2 u_h) - |Iu_l|^2(Iu_h)\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(1). \tag{8.26}$$

Similarly,

$$\|I(u_l^2 \bar{u}_h) - (Iu_l)^2(I\bar{u}_h)\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(1).$$

Finally,

$$\|uu_h^2\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(1).$$

Therefore,

$$(8.19) \lesssim o(K). \tag{8.27}$$

Next, take (8.20) and integrate by parts in space.

$$(8.20) = -\int_0^T \iint a_j(x-y) Re[((\partial_j + i\xi_j(t))\overline{Iu}(t,x))((|Iu|^2(Iu) - I(|u|^2 u))(t,x)|Iu(t,y))|^2]dxdydt \tag{8.28}$$

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} a_{jj}(x-y)|Iu(t,y)|^2\overline{Iu}(t,x))((|Iu|^2(Iu) - I(|u|^2 u))(t,x)dxdydt. \tag{8.29}$$

Following the same analysis as for (8.19), (8.28) $\lesssim o(K)$.

By 8.23) Δa is the sum of an L^2 function and a function bounded by $\frac{C}{|x-y|}$, so combining Young's inequality and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem,

$$(8.29) \lesssim \|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|Iu\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{12}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \|I(|u|^2 u) - |Iu|^2(Iu)\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

$$\|I(|u|^2 u) - |Iu|^2(Iu)\|_{L_t^{3/2} L_x^{6/5}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(1),$$

and by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\|Iu\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{12}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} = (\frac{K}{M})^{1/2}\|I_\lambda u_\lambda\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{12}([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{K}{M})^{1/2}\sum_{2^k=1/2}^{M}\|P_{2^k}(e^{-ix\cdot\lambda\xi(t)}u_\lambda)\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/2}\|P_{2^k}(e^{-ix\cdot\lambda\xi(t)}u_\lambda)\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^{1/2} \lesssim o(K^{1/2}).$$

Therefore, (8.29) $\lesssim o(K)$.

Finally we turn to (8.21).

$$(I(|u|^2\bar{u})(Iu)(t,y) - I(|u|^2u)(\overline{Iu})(t,y)) = F_0(t,y) + F_1(t,y) + F_2(t,y) + F_3(t,y) + F_4(t,y), \quad (8.30)$$

where

$$F_0(t,y) = I(|u_l|^2\bar{u}_l)(Iu_l)(t,y) - I(|u_l|^2u_l)\overline{Iu_l}(t,y), \quad (8.31)$$

$F_1(t,y)$ is the difference of terms with one u_h and three u_l's appearing, and so on. Since u_l is supported on $|\xi| \leq \frac{K}{32}$,

$$F_0(t,y) = I(|u_l|^2\bar{u}_l)(Iu_l) - I(|u_l|^2u_l)(\overline{Iu_l}) \equiv 0.$$

Next,

$$\|F_4(t,y)\|_{L_{t,x}^1([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^3\|u_h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 1.$$

Similarly,

$$\|F_3(t,y)\|_{L_{t,x}^1([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^3\|u_l\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim 1.$$

Because $I \equiv 1$ on $|\xi| \leq K$,

$$I(|u_l|^2\bar{u}_l)(IP_{<\frac{K}{4}}u_h) + I(\bar{u}_l^2P_{<\frac{K}{4}}u_h)(Iu_l) - 2I(|u_l|^2P_{<\frac{K}{4}}u_h)\overline{Iu_h} \equiv 0,$$

and

$$I(|u_l|^2u_l)(IP_{<\frac{K}{4}}\bar{u}_h) + I(u_l^2P_{<\frac{K}{4}}\bar{u}_h)(\overline{Iu_l}) - 2I(|u_l|^2P_{<\frac{K}{4}}\bar{u}_h)(Iu_h) \equiv 0.$$

This implies $F_1(t,y)$ is supported on $|\xi| > \frac{K}{32}$. Integrating by parts in space,

$$\int_0^T \int \int a_j(x-y) Re[(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))\frac{\Delta_y}{\Delta_y}F_1(t,y)]dxdydt \tag{8.32}$$

$$= -\int_0^T \int \int a_{jk}(x-y) Re[(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))\frac{\partial_k}{\Delta_y}F_1(t,y)]dxdydt.$$

$$\|u_l^3 u_h\|_{L_t^{3/2}L_x^{6/5}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}\|u_l\|^3_{L_t^9 L_x^{9/2}}.$$

$$\|u_l\|_{L_t^9 L_x^{9/2}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{K}{M})^{1/3}\|I_\lambda u_\lambda\|_{L_t^9 L_x^{9/2}([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{K}{M})^{1/3}\sum_{2^j=\frac{1}{2}}^{M} 2^{j/3}\|P_{2^j}(e^{-ix\cdot\lambda\xi(t)}u_\lambda)\|_{L_t^9 L_x^{18/7}([0,\frac{T}{\lambda^2}]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim (\frac{K}{M})^{1/3}\sum_{2^j=\frac{1}{2}}^{M}(\frac{M}{2^j})^{1/9}2^{j/3} \lesssim K.$$

The second to last inequality follows from lemma 4.1 and theorem 4.2.

Because a_{jk} is the sum of an L^2 function and a function bounded by $\frac{C}{|x-y|}$, by Young's inequality and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$(8.32) \lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}\|F_1\|_{L_t^{3/2}L_x^{6/5}} \lesssim \frac{1}{K}o(K^2) = o(K).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^T \int \int a_j(x-y) Re[(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))(F_0(t,y)+F_1(t,y)+F_3(t,y)+F_4(t,y))]dxdydt \lesssim o(K).$$

Finally, we want to estimate

$$\int_0^T \int \int a_j(x-y) Re[(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))F_2(t,y)]dxdydt. \tag{8.33}$$

As in the $F_1(t,y)$ case,

$$\int_0^T \int \int a_j(x-y) Re[(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))\frac{\Delta_y}{\Delta_y}P_{>\frac{K}{32}}F_2(t,y)]dxdydt$$

$$= -\int_0^T \int \int a_{jk}(x-y) Re[(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))\frac{\partial_k}{\Delta_y}P_{>\frac{K}{32}}F_2(t,y)]dxdydt$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|u_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}^2\|u_l\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4}^2 \lesssim o(K).$$

Expanding out $F_2(t,y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(t,y) = {} & 2I(|u_h|^2\bar{u}_l)(Iu_l) + I(\bar{u}_h^2 u_l)(Iu_l) + 2I(|u_l|^2\bar{u}_h)(Iu_h) + I(\bar{u}_l^2 u_h)(Iu_h) \\ & -2I(|u_h|^2 u_l)(\overline{Iu_l}) - I(u_h^2\bar{u}_l)(\overline{Iu_l}) - 2I(|u_l|^2 u_h)(\overline{Iu_h}) - I(u_l^2\bar{u}_h)(\overline{Iu_h}). \end{aligned} \tag{8.34}$$

From the Fourier support of u_l,

$$P_{<\frac{K}{32}}[I(|u_h|^2\bar{u}_l)(Iu_l) - I(|u_h|^2 u_l)(\overline{Iu_l})]$$

$$= P_{<\frac{K}{32}}[I((P_{<K/4}|u_h|^2)\bar{u}_l)(Iu_l) - I((P_{<K/4}|u_h|^2)u_l)(\overline{Iu_l})] \equiv 0.$$

Next, by (8.25),

$$\|I(|u_l|^2\bar{u}_h)(Iu_h) - |u_l|^2|Iu_h|^2\|_{L^1_{t,x}} \lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|Iu_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}^2\|\nabla|u_l|^2\|_{L_t^3 L_x^{3/2}}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|Iu_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}^2\|\nabla(e^{-ix\cdot\xi(t)}u_l)\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u_l\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(1).$$

We can make a similar estimate for $|u_l|^2|Iu_h|^2 - I(|u_l|^2 u_h)(\overline{Iu_h})$. Also,

$$\|I(\bar{u}_l^2 u_h)(Iu_h) - \bar{u}_l^2(Iu_h)^2\|_{L^1_{t,x}} \lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|Iu_h\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}^2\|\nabla|u_l|^2\|_{L_t^3 L_x^{3/2}} \lesssim o(1).$$

Therefore it only remains to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int\int a_j(x-y) Re[(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)) \\ \times P_{<K/32}(\bar{u}_h^2 u_l^2 + \bar{u}_l^2(Iu_h)^2 - u_h^2\bar{u}_l^2 - u_l^2(\overline{Iu_h})^2)(t,y)]dxdydt. \end{aligned} \tag{8.35}$$

Remark: To estimate (8.35) we will integrate by parts in time. This is the only place where the time derivative of $a(t,x)$, (8.24) is used. In this paper we will use $a_j(x-y)$ constant in time so the reader may wish to ignore the time dependence of $a_j(t,x-y)$ for the remainder of the paper. However, a time - dependent $a_j(t,x-y)$ is quite useful.

Making the inverse Fourier transform,

$$\int_0^T \int\int a_j(t,x-y)(\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))P_{<K/32}((\bar{u}_h^2 - (\overline{Iu_h})^2)u_l^2)(t,y)dxdydt$$

$$= \int_0^T \int\int a_j(t,x-y)[\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)]$$

$$\times[\int\int\int\int \phi(\frac{32(\eta_1+\eta_2+\eta_3+\eta_4)}{K})e^{iy\cdot(\eta_1+\eta_2+\eta_3+\eta_4)}\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_3)\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_4)\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_1)\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_2) \tag{8.36}$$

$$\times(1-m(\eta_1)m(\eta_2))d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 d\eta_4]dxdydt.$$

Let

$$q(\eta) = |\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_3|^2 - |\eta_4|^2.$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{iq(\eta)}(\frac{d}{dt}e^{itq(\eta)})[\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)a_j(t,x-y)]$$

$$\times e^{it|\eta_1|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_1)e^{-it|\eta_2|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_2)e^{it|\eta_3|^2}\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_3)e^{it|\eta_4|^2}\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_4)]dt,$$

$$= \frac{1}{iq(\eta)}a_j(t,x-y)\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))(Iu)(t,x)\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_1)\hat{\bar{u}}(t,\eta_2)\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_3)\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_4)|_0^T \tag{8.37}$$

$$- \int_0^T \frac{1}{iq(\eta)}e^{itq(\eta)}\frac{d}{dt}[\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)a_j(t,x-y)$$

$$\times e^{-it|\eta_1|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_1)e^{-it|\eta_2|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_2)e^{it|\eta_3|^2}\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_3)e^{it|\eta_4|^2}\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_4)]dt. \tag{8.38}$$

Since $|\eta_3|, |\eta_4| \leq \frac{K}{16}$, $||\eta_3|^2+|\eta_4|^2| \leq \frac{K^2}{16}$. Therefore, on the support of $(1-m(\eta_1)m(\eta_2))\phi(\frac{32(\eta_1+\eta_2+\eta_3+\eta_4)}{K})$, $|\eta_3|, |\eta_4| < \frac{K}{16}$, $|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 > \frac{5K^2}{4}$, and $q(\eta) > \frac{K^2}{2}$. By the Sobolev embedding and (8.22),

$$|\int\int a_j(t,x-y)[\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)]\int\int\int\int \phi(\frac{32(\eta_1+\eta_2+\eta_3+\eta_4)}{K})e^{iy\cdot(\eta_1+\eta_2+\eta_3+\eta_4)}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{q(\eta)}(1-m(\eta_1)m(\eta_2))\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_1)\hat{\bar{u}}(t,\eta_2)\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_3)\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_4)d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 d\eta_4 dxdy|_{-T}^T|$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K^2}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|u_h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^2\|u_l\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \lesssim o(K).$$

This takes care of (8.37). Applying the product rule to (8.38), we have to evaluate

$$|\int_0^T \int \int a_j(t,x-y)[\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)]$$

$$\times \int \int \int \int \phi(\frac{32(\eta_1+\eta_2+\eta_3+\eta_4)}{K}) e^{iy\cdot(\eta_1+\eta_2+\eta_3+\eta_4)} \frac{e^{itq(\eta)}}{q(\eta)} (1-m(\eta_1)m(\eta_2)) \tag{8.39}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[e^{-it|\eta_1|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_1)e^{-it|\eta_2|^2}\hat{\bar{u}}_h(t,\eta_2)e^{it|\eta_3|^2}\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_3)e^{it|\eta_4|^2}\hat{u}_l(t,\eta_4)]d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 d\eta_4 dxdydt|$$

$$+|\int_0^T \int \int [\frac{d}{dt}(a_j(t,x-y)\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x))][(\bar{u}_h^2 - (\overline{Iu_h})^2)u_l^2(t,y)]dxdydt|. \tag{8.40}$$

Splitting

$$P_{>\frac{K}{32}}(|u|^2 u) = P_{>\frac{K}{32}}O(u_h^2 u) + P_{>\frac{K}{32}}O(u_l^2 u),$$

$$\frac{1}{K^2}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_{>\frac{K}{32}}(|u|^2u)u_h u_l^2\|_{L_{t,x}^1([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K^2}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$
$$\times[\|P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u\|^3_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u_l\|^2_{L_{t,x}^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$+\|P_{>2^{-10}K}u\|^2_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|Iu\|^3_{L_t^9 L_x^{18}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}] \lesssim o(K).$$

Also,

$$P_l(|u|^2u) = P_l((P_{\leq K}u)^2u) + P_l(O((P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u)^2u)),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{K^2}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u)^2u_l P_l(|u|^2u)\|_{L_{t,x}^1([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K^2}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$
$$\times[\|P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u\|^2_{L_{t,x}^4([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|P_l((P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u)^2u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u_l\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$+\|P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u\|^2_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|Iu\|^3_{L_t^9 L_x^{18}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}] \lesssim o(K).$$

By Sobolev embedding,

$$\|P_l((P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u)^2u)\|_{L_t^2L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim K\|P_{>\frac{K}{32}}u\|^2_{L^4_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(K).$$

Therefore, (8.39) $\lesssim o(K)$.

Now,

$$\frac{d}{dt}[a_j(t,x-y)\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)] = -ia_j(t,x-y)\xi_j'(t)|Iu(t,x)|^2 \tag{8.41}$$

$$-ia_j(t,x-y)\Delta\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x) + ia_j(t,x-y)\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))\Delta Iu(t,x) \tag{8.42}$$

$$+ia_j(t,x-y)I(|u|^2\bar{u})(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x) - ia_j(t,x-y)\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))I(|u|^2u)(t,x) \tag{8.43}$$

$$+[\frac{d}{dt}a_j(t,x-y)][\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)]. \tag{8.44}$$

Integrating by parts in space,

$$i\int a_j(t,x-y)[-\Delta\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x) + \overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))\Delta Iu(t,x)]dx$$

$$= i\int a_{jk}(t,x-y)[-\partial_k\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x) + \overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))\partial_k Iu(t,x)]dx.$$

Because I is supported on $|\xi| \lesssim K$, it suffices to evaluate

$$\frac{1}{K}\int_0^T\int\int\frac{1}{|x-y|}|Iu(t,x)||(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)||u_h(t,y)|^2|u_l(t,y)|^2dxdydt,$$

which by the Hardy - Littlewood - Sobolev theorem and Sobolev embedding,

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|u_h\|^2_{L_t^3L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu(t,x)\|_{L_t^3L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u_l\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^4([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

$$\lesssim o(K).$$

We can make a similar estimate for the L^2 component of a_{jk} using Young's inequality.

Therefore, the contribution to (8.40) of (8.42) is $\lesssim o(K)$. Next,

$$\frac{1}{K^2}(\|P_{\leq \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^4}^3 \|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t)) Iu\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_{t,x}^4}^2 \|u_l\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4}^2$$

$$+\|P_{\leq \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4}^2 \|P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t)) Iu\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_{t,x}^4}^2 \|u_l\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4}^2$$

$$+\|P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t)) Iu\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6} \|P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^2 \|u_l\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2) \lesssim o(K).$$

Therefore, the contribution to (8.40) of the first term in (8.43) is $\lesssim o(K)$. For the second term in (8.43), integrating by parts in space,

$$\int a_j(t, x-y)[\overline{Iu}(t,x)(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t)) I(|u|^2 u)(t,x)] dx$$

$$= -\int a_{jj}(t, x-y)[\overline{Iu}(t,x) I(|u|^2 u)(t,x)] dx - \int a_j(t, x-y)[(\partial_j + i\xi_j(t))\overline{Iu}(t,x)] I(|u|^2 u)(t,x) dx. \tag{8.45}$$

Applying the the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev lemma, Young's inequality, and (8.23) to the first term in (8.45), using

$$|u|^2 u = O(u_h^2 u) + O(u_l^2 u),$$

$$\frac{1}{K^2}\int_0^T \int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|} |\overline{Iu}(t,x)| |I(|u|^2 u)(t,x)| |P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u(t,y)|^2 |u_l(t,y)|^2 dx dy dt$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K} \|P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_{t,x}^4([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)}^4 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|Iu\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{K^2} \|P_{< \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_t^9 L_x^{18}([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)} \|P_{> \frac{K}{32}} u\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \|u_l\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4([0,T]\times \mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim o(K).$$

The contribution of

$$\int a_j(t, x-y)[(\partial_j + i\xi_j(t))\overline{Iu}(t,x)] I(|u|^2 u)(t,x) dx$$

can be estimated in exactly the same way as the contribution of the first term in (8.43). Therefore the contribution of (8.43) is $\lesssim o(K)$.

Next, by (2.72), $\int_0^T |\xi'(t)| dt \lesssim K$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{K^2}\int_0^T \int \int a_j(t, x-y) |\xi_j'(t)| |Iu(t,x)|^2 |u_h(t,y)|^2 |u_l(t,y)|^2 dx dy dt$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{K}\|Iu\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|Iu\|^2_{L^\infty_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|u_h\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(K).$$

Finally, by Young's inequality and (8.24),

$$\frac{1}{K^2}\int_0^T \iint |\partial_t a_j(t,x-y)||Iu(t,x)||\nabla Iu(t,x)||I(|u|^2u)(t,y)||Iu(t,y)|dxdydt$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{K^2}\|Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty}\|\nabla Iu\|_{L^4_{t,x}}\|Iu\|_{L_t^4L_x^\infty}\|Iu\|^3_{L_t^{12}L_x^4}$$

$$+\frac{C}{K^2}\|I(|u|^2u)-|Iu|^2(Iu)\|_{L_t^{3/2}L_x^{6/5}}\|Iu\|_{L_t^3L_x^\infty}\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}\|Iu\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \leq o(K)C.$$

This concludes the proof of theorem 8.2, which in turn proves theorem 8.1. □

Theorem 8.3 *There does not exist a minimal mass blowup solution with* $N(t)\leq 1$, $\int_0^\infty N(t)^3dt = \infty$.

Proof: Suppose there did exist a minimal mass blowup solution with $N(t)\leq 1$ and $\int_{-\infty}^\infty N(t)^3 dt = \infty$. By theorem 8.1,

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}|Iu(t,x)|^2\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \sup_{[-T,T]} M^I(t) + o(K). \tag{8.46}$$

$$\int\int a_j(x-y)\overline{Iu(t,x)Iu(t,y)}(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))(Iu(t,x)Iu(t,y))dxdy$$

$$\lesssim \|Iu\|^3_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Since $N(t)\leq 1$,

$$\|(\partial_j - i\xi_j(t))Iu\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim \sum_{1\leq N_i\leq K} o(N_i) \lesssim o(K).$$

Also,

$$\int\int a_j(x-y)Im[\overline{Iu(t,x)Iu(t,y)}i\xi_j(t)Iu(t,x)Iu(t,y)]dxdy = \int\int a_j(x-y)|Iu(t,x)|^2|Iu(t,y)|^2\xi_j(t)dxdy. \tag{8.47}$$

Since $|Iu(t,x)|^2|Iu(t,y)|^2$ is symmetric in x and y and $a_j(x-y)$ is anti-symmetric in x and y, this implies

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}|Iu(t,x)|^2\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}([-T,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)} \lesssim o(K). \tag{8.48}$$

There exist $x(t), \xi(t) : \mathbf{R}\to\mathbf{R}^2$ such that there is a function $0 < C(\eta) < \infty$ defined for any $0<\eta<\infty$ such that

$$\int_{|x-x(t)|>\frac{C(\eta)}{N(t)}} |u(t,x)|^2 dx + \int_{|\xi-\xi(t)|>C(\eta)N(t)} |\hat{u}(t,\xi)|^2 d\xi < \eta. \tag{8.49}$$

By Holder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\int_{|x-x(t)|\leq \frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(t)}} |Iu(t,x)|^2 dx$$

$$\lesssim (\frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(t)})^{3/2} \|Iu(t,x)\|_{L_x^8(\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim (\frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(t)})^{3/2} \||\nabla|^{1/2}|Iu|^2\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Now for $K > C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})$ we have

$$\frac{m_0^2}{2} < \int_{|x-x(t)|\leq \frac{C(\frac{m_0^2}{1000})}{N(t)}} |Iu(t,x)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^T m_0^4 N(t)^3 dt \lesssim \int_{-T}^T N(t)^3 (\int_{|x-x(t)|\leq \frac{C(\frac{M_0^2}{1000})}{N(t)}} |Iu(t,x)|^2 dx)^2 dt$$

$$\lesssim \||\nabla|^{1/2}|Iu(t,x)|^2\|_{L_{t,x}^2([-T,T]\times\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim o(K).$$

But $\int_0^T N(t)^3 dt \sim K$ gives a contradiction for K sufficiently large. Therefore, the proof of theorem 8.3 is complete. $\Box$

This excludes the last of the minimal mass blowup solutions, proving theorem 1.6. $\Box$

References

[1] J. Bourgain. Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity. *International Mathematical Research Notices*, 5:253 – 283, 1998.

[2] J. Bourgain. *Global Solutions of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 1999.

[3] J. Bourgain. Global wellposedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):145–171, 1999.

[4] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger Equation in H^1. *Manuscripta Mathematics*, 61:477 – 494, 1988.

[5] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger Equation in H^s. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 14:807 – 836, 1990.

[6] J. Colliander, M. Grillakis, and N. Tzirakis. Improved interaction Morawetz inequalities for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbf{R}^2$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):90 – 119, 2007.

[7] J. Colliander, M. Grillakis, and N. Tzirakis. Tensor products and correlation estimates with applications to nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62(7):920–968, 2009.

[8] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 9:659 – 682, 2002.

[9] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global existence and scattering for rough solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbf{R}^3$. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 21:987 – 1014, 2004.

[10] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Resonant decompositions and the I-method for cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbf{R}^2$. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A*, 21:665 – 686, 2007.

[11] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):767–865, 2008.

[12] J. Colliander and T. Roy. Bootstrapped Morawetz estimates and resonant decomposition for low regularity global solutions of cubic NLS on $\mathbf{R}^2$. *preprint, arXiv:0811.1803.*

[13] D. De Silva, N. Pavlovic, G. Staffilani, and N. Tzirakis. Global well-posedness for the L^2-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. *to appear, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis.*

[14] D. de Silva, N. Pavlović, G. Staffilani, and N. Tzirakis. Global well-posedness and polynomial bounds for the defocusing L^2-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}$. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 33(7-9):1395–1429, 2008.

[15] B. Dodson. Almost Morawetz estimates and global well-posedness for the defocusing L^2-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. arXiv:0909.4332v1.

[16] B. Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2-critical, nonlinear Schrdinger equation when $d \geq 3$. arXiv:0912.2467v1.

[17] B. Dodson. Improved almost Morawetz estimates for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. arXiv:0909.0757.

[18] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi, and J. Shatah. Global solutions for 2d quadratic schrodinger equations. arXiv:1001.5158v1.

[19] M. Hadac, S. Herr, and H. Koch. Well-posedness and scattering for the KP-II equation in a critical space. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(3):917–941, 2009.

[20] M. Keel and T. Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 120:955 – 980, 1998.

[21] R. Killip, T. Tao, and M. Visan. The cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in two dimensions with radial data. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, to appear.

[22] R. Killip, M. Visan, and X. Zhang. The mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with radial data in dimensions three and higher. *Anal. PDE*, 1(2):229–266, 2008.

[23] H. Koch and D. Tataru. Dispersive estimates for principally normal pseudodifferential operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58(2):217–284, 2005.

[24] H. Koch and D. Tataru. A priori bounds for the 1D cubic NLS in negative Sobolev spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16), 2007.

[25] F. Planchon and L. Vega. Bilinear virial identities and applications. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(2):261–290, 2009.

[26] C. Sogge. *Fourier Intergrals in Classical Analysis.* Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[27] E. Stein. *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals.* Princeton University Press, 1993.

[28] T. Tao. A sharp bilinear restrictions estimate for paraboloids. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 13(6):1359–1384, 2003.

[29] T. Tao. *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis.* American Mathematical Society, 2006.

[30] T. Tao, M. Visan, and X. Zhang. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing mass-critical nonlinear schrödinger equation for radial data in high dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, 140(1):165–202, 2007.

[31] T. Tao, M. Visan, and X. Zhang. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined power-type nonlinearities. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(7-9):1281–1343, 2007.

[32] T. Tao, M. Visan, and X. Zhang. Minimal-mass blowup solutions of the mass-critical NLS. *Forum Math.*, 20(5):881–919, 2008.

[33] M. Taylor. *Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE.* Birkhauser, 1991.

[34] M. Taylor. *Partial Differential Equations.* Springer Verlag Inc., 1996.

[35] Y. Tsutsumi. L^2 solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equation and nonlinear groups. *Funkcional Ekvacioj*, 30:115 – 125, 1987.

[36] M. Visan. The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 138:281 – 374, 2007.